

EE2: Green's, Divergence & Stokes' Theorems plus Maxwell's Equations

Green's Theorem in a plane: Let $P(x, y)$ and $Q(x, y)$ be arbitrary functions in the x, y plane in which there is a *closed* boundary C enclosing¹ a region R . Green's Theorem connects behaviour at the boundary with what is happening inside

$$\oint_C (Pdx + Qdy) = \int \int_R \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} \right) dxdy \quad (1)$$

In two-dimensions (2D), Green's Theorem can be converted into 2D-versions of the Divergence and Stokes' Theorems respectively. To do this think of a point on the closed boundary C and draw the unit normal vector $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ and the unit tangent vector $\hat{\mathbf{t}}$. As I showed in the lectures

$$\hat{\mathbf{t}} = \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{ds} = \hat{\mathbf{i}} \frac{dx}{ds} + \hat{\mathbf{j}} \frac{dy}{ds} \quad (2)$$

where ds is a small element of arc-length. Obviously, $\hat{\mathbf{t}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} = 0$ so we can work out $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ from² (2)

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \hat{\mathbf{i}} \frac{dy}{ds} - \hat{\mathbf{j}} \frac{dx}{ds} \quad (3)$$

The Divergence Theorem: Define the 2D-vector

$$\mathbf{u}(x, y) = \hat{\mathbf{i}}Q(x, y) - \hat{\mathbf{j}}P(x, y) \quad (4)$$

which means that Green's Theorem in (1) converts to the 2D-Divergence Theorem (also known as Gauss' Theorem)

$$\oint_C \mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} ds = \int \int_R \text{div } \mathbf{u} dxdy. \quad (5)$$

The 3D-version uses an arbitrary 3D vector field $\mathbf{u}(x, y, z)$ that lives in some finite, simply connected volume V whose surface is S : dA is some small element of area on the curved surface S

$$\int \int_S \mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} dA = \int \int \int_V \text{div } \mathbf{u} dV. \quad (6)$$

Stokes' Theorem: Define the 2D-vector

$$\mathbf{v}(x, y) = \hat{\mathbf{i}}P(x, y) + \hat{\mathbf{j}}Q(x, y) \quad (7)$$

Note that $\hat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \text{curl } \mathbf{v} = Q_x - P_y$ and $Pdx + Qdy = \mathbf{v} \cdot d\mathbf{r}$. Then Green's Theorem in (1) converts to 2D-Stokes' Theorem

$$\oint_C \mathbf{v} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \oint_C \mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{t}} ds = \int \int_R \hat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \text{curl } \mathbf{v} dxdy. \quad (8)$$

The 3D-version of Stokes' Theorem uses an arbitrary 3D vector field $\mathbf{v}(x, y, z)$ that lives in V

$$\oint_C \mathbf{v} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \int \int_S \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \text{curl } \mathbf{v} dA. \quad (9)$$

$\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ is the unit normal vector to the complete surface S of the volume V . For Stokes' Theorem, C is some closed circuit encribed on the surface of the volume and S is the surface of the 'cap' above C .

¹ $P(x, y)$ and $Q(x, y)$ need to be continuous and the two partial derivatives on the RHS of (1) need to exist everywhere in R .

²A \pm sign in front of (3) would account for outer and inner normals.

Maxwell's Equations

Now consider a 3D volume with a closed circuit C on its surface. Let's use the 3D versions of the Divergence and Stokes' Theorems to derive some relationships between various electro-magnetic variables.

1. Using the charge density ρ , the total charge within the volume V must be equal to surface area integral of the electric flux density \mathbf{D} through the surface S (recall that $\mathbf{D} = \epsilon\mathbf{E}$ where \mathbf{E} is the electric field).

$$\int \int \int_V \rho dV = \int \int_S \mathbf{D} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} dA \quad (10)$$

Using the 3D-Divergence Theorem (6) above on the RHS of (10)

$$\int \int \int_V \rho dV = \int \int \int_V \text{div } \mathbf{D} dV. \quad (11)$$

Hence we have the first of Maxwell's equations

$$\text{div } \mathbf{D} = \rho. \quad (12)$$

This is also known as Gauss's Law.

2. Following the above in the same manner for the magnetic flux density \mathbf{B} (recall that $\mathbf{B} = \mu\mathbf{H}$ where \mathbf{H} is the magnetic field) but noting that there are no magnetic sources (so $\rho_{mag} = 0$), we have the 2nd of Maxwell's equations

$$\text{div } \mathbf{B} = 0. \quad (13)$$

3. Faraday's Law says that the rate of change of magnetic flux linking a circuit C is proportional to the electromotive force (in the negative sense). Mathematically this is expressed as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \int_S \mathbf{B} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} dA = - \oint_C \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{r} \quad (14)$$

Using 3D-Stokes' Theorem (9) on the RHS of (13), and taking the time derivative through the surface integral (thereby making it a partial derivative) we have the 3rd of Maxwell's equations

$$\text{curl } \mathbf{E} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = 0 \quad (15)$$

4. Ampere's (Biot-Savart) Law expressed mathematically (the line integral of the magnetic field around a circuit C is equal to the current enclosed) is

$$\int \int_S \mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} dA = \oint_C \mathbf{H} \cdot d\mathbf{r} \quad (16)$$

where \mathbf{J} is the current density and \mathbf{H} is the magnetic field. Using 3D-Stokes' Theorem (9) on the RHS we find that we have $\text{curl } \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J}$ and therefore $\text{div } \mathbf{J} = 0$, which is inconsistent with the first three of Maxwell's equations. Why? The continuity equation for the total charge is

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \int \int_V \rho dV = - \int \int_S \mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} dA. \quad (17)$$

Using the Divergence Theorem on the LHS we obtain

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{J} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = 0. \quad (18)$$

If $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{J} = 0$ then ρ would have to be independent of t . To get round this problem we use Gauss's Law $\rho = \operatorname{div} \mathbf{D}$ expressed above to get

$$\operatorname{div} \left\{ \mathbf{J} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} \right\} = 0 \quad (19)$$

and so this motivates us to replace \mathbf{J} in $\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J}$ by $\mathbf{J} + \partial \mathbf{D} / \partial t$ giving the 4th of Maxwell's equations

$$\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t}. \quad (20)$$

5. We finally note that because $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{B} = 0$ then \mathbf{B} is a solenoidal vector field: there must exist a vector potential \mathbf{A} such that $\mathbf{B} = \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}$. Using this in the 3rd of Maxwell's equations, we have

$$\operatorname{curl} \left[\mathbf{E} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \right] = 0 \quad (21)$$

This means that there must also exist a scalar potential ϕ that satisfies

$$\mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} - \nabla \phi. \quad (22)$$