# ON A SHARP HARDY INEQUALITY FOR CONVEX DOMAINS

### FARIT AVKHADIEV AND ARI LAPTEV

ABSTRACT. The aim of this short note is to obtain a sharp Hardy inequality for convex domains involving both the distance to the boundary and the distance to the origin. In particular this would imply a Hardy-Sobolev inequality for the class of symmetric functions in a ball.

*Key-words:* Hardy inequalities; Sobolev inequalities *MSC (2000):* Primary: 35P15; Secondary: 81Q10

#### 0. INTRODUCTION

The classical Hardy inequality states that if  $d \geq 3$  then for any function u such that  $\nabla u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ 

(1) 
$$\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|^2} dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx.$$

The constant  $((d-2)/2)^2$  in (1) is sharp but it is not achieved.

Hardy's inequality for convex domains in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is usually given in terms of the distance to the boundary. Namely, let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a convex domain and let  $\delta(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)$  be the distance from  $x \in \Omega$  to the boundary  $\partial \Omega$ . The following Hardy inequality is well known (see [5], [6])

(2) 
$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \ge \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{\delta^2(x)} dx, \qquad u \in H^1_0(\Omega).$$

In this case the equality is also not achieved. This gives room for various improvements of the later inequality. It has been shown by H. Bresis and M. Markus in [4] that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \ge \frac{1}{4} \, \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{\delta^2(x)} \, dx + \lambda(\Omega) \, \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^2 \, dx, \qquad u \in H^1_0(\Omega),$$

where

$$\lambda(\Omega) \ge \frac{1}{4 \text{diam}^2(\Omega)}.$$

Date: September 3, 2009.

In [11] M. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, Th. Hoffmann-Ostenhof and A. Laptev estimated this constant by the Lebesgue measure of  $\Omega$ 

$$\lambda(\Omega) \geq \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{v_d}{|\Omega|}\right)^{2/d},$$

where  $v_d$  is the volume of unit ball.

F. Avkhadiev [1], [2] and S. Filippas, V. Maz'ya and A. Tertikas [8] have proved that

$$\lambda(\Omega) \ge 3D_{\rm int}^{-2}(\Omega),$$

where  $D_{\text{int}}(\Omega) = 2 \sup \{\delta(x) : x \in \Omega\}$  is the interior diameter of  $\Omega$ . Recently F. Avkhadiev and K.-J. Wirths [3] have proved that  $\lambda(\Omega) \geq 4\lambda_0 D_{\text{int}}^{-2}(\Omega)$ , where  $\lambda_0 = 0.940...$  is defined as the first positive root of the equation  $J_0(\lambda) + 2\lambda J'_0(\lambda) = 0$  for Bessel's function and this constant is sharp for all dimensions.

The main purpose of this short article is to prove the following result which is a certain generalisation of Theorem 3.2 [9]:

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 1$ , be a bounded domain and let  $B_{\rho} = \{x : |x| \leq \rho\} \subset \Omega$ . For  $x \in \Omega \setminus B_{\rho}$  denote by  $\delta_{\rho}(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial B_{\rho}) = |x| - \rho$  and  $\delta_{\Omega} = \text{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)$ . Then for any  $u \in H^1_0(\Omega \setminus B_{\rho})$ 

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\rho}} |\nabla u(x)|^2 \, dx \\ & \geq \frac{1}{4} \, \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\rho}} \Big( \frac{(d-1)(d-3)}{|x|^2} + \frac{1}{\delta_{\rho}^2(x)} + \frac{1}{\delta_{\Omega}^2(x)} \\ & - 2 \, \frac{\Delta \delta_{\Omega}(x)}{\delta_{\Omega}(x)} - 2 \, \frac{x \cdot \nabla \delta_{\Omega}(x)}{|x|\delta_{\rho}(x)\delta_{\Omega}(x)} + 2(d-1) \, \frac{x \cdot \nabla \delta_{\Omega}(x)}{|x|^2 \delta_{\Omega}(x)} \Big) \, |u(x)|^2 \, dx. \end{split}$$

This result implies several corollaries which have some independent interest.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\Omega = B_R = \{x : |x| < R\}$ ,  $R > \rho$ , and let  $\delta_R = \delta_\Omega = \text{dist}(x, \partial B_R) = R - |x|$ . Then for any  $u \in H^1_0(\Omega \setminus B_\rho)$ 

$$\int_{B_R \setminus B_\rho} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx$$
  

$$\geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{B_R \setminus B_\rho} \left( \frac{(d-1)(d-3)}{|x|^2} + \frac{1}{\delta_\rho^2(x)} + \frac{1}{\delta_R^2(x)} + \frac{2}{\delta_\rho(x)\delta_R(x)} \right) |u(x)|^2 dx.$$

Proof. This statement follows from Theorem 1, since

$$-\frac{\Delta\delta_R(x)}{\delta_R(x)} = \frac{d-1}{|x|\delta_R(x)} \quad \text{and} \quad x \cdot \nabla\delta_R(x) = -|x|.$$

**Remark 1.** In particular, if  $R \to \infty$ , then Corollary 1 provides us with the Hardy inequality for exterior of the ball  $B_{\rho}$ 

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_{\rho}} |\nabla u(x)|^2 \, dx \ge \frac{1}{4} \, \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_{\rho}} \left( \frac{(d-1)(d-3)}{|x|^2} + \frac{1}{\delta_{\rho}^2(x)} \right) |u(x)|^2 \, dx.$$

**Remark 2.** It has been noticed in [7] that the inequality (2) holds for a not necessary convex conical sector  $\Omega = \Omega_{\alpha} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  of angle  $\alpha \leq \pi + 4 \arctan(4\Gamma^2(3/4)\Gamma^{-2}(1/4))$ , whereas Corollary 1 shows that (2) is also true for  $\Omega = B_R \setminus B_{\rho}$ . It would be interesting to describe a class of non-convex domains for which (2) remains true.

If we let  $\rho \to 0$ , then using  $(d-1)(d-3) + 1 = (d-2)^2$  we find

Corollary 2.

$$\int_{B_R} |\nabla u(x)|^2 \, dx \ge \frac{1}{4} \, \int_{B_R} \left( \frac{(d-2)^2}{|x|^2} + \frac{1}{\delta_R^2(x)} + \frac{2}{|x|\delta_R(x)} \right) |u(x)|^2 \, dx,$$
  
where  $u \in H_0^1(B_R)$  if  $d \ge 2$  and  $u \in H_0^1(B_R \setminus \{0\})$  if  $d = 1$ .

**Remark 3.** Note that the latter inequality without the extra positive term  $2/(|x|\delta_R(x))$  follows from Theorem 3.2 [9]. Note that both constants at the first two terms in the right hand side of this inequality are sharp.

If we now assume that  $-\Delta \delta_{\Omega}(x) + (d-2) x \cdot \nabla \delta_{\Omega}(x) / |x|^2 \ge 0$  and  $\rho = 0$  we recover Theorem 3.2 [9].

**Corollary 3.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 1$ , be a bounded domain and let  $B_{\rho} = \{x : |x| \leq \rho\} \subset \Omega$  and let us assume that  $-\Delta \delta_{\Omega}(x) + (d-2)x \cdot \nabla \delta_{\Omega}(x)/|x|^2 \geq 0$ . Then for any  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega \setminus \{0\})$  we have

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\rho}} |\nabla u(x)|^2 \, dx \ge \frac{1}{4} \, \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\rho}} \left( \frac{(d-2)^2}{|x|^2} + \frac{1}{\delta_{\Omega}^2(x)} \right) |u(x)|^2 \, dx.$$

**Corollary 4.** Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded convex domain and let us assume that the origin is chosen such that  $\max \{\delta_{\Omega}(x) : x \in \Omega\}$  is achieved at 0. Suppose also that  $B_{\rho} \subset \Omega \subset \{x : (d-2)|x| < (d-1)\rho\}, d \geq 2$ . Then for any  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega \setminus B_{\rho})$ 

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\rho}} |\nabla u(x)|^2 \, dx \ge \frac{1}{4} \, \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\rho}} \left( \frac{(d-1)(d-3)}{|x|^2} + \frac{1}{\delta_{\rho}^2(x)} + \frac{1}{\delta_{\Omega}^2(x)} \right) |u(x)|^2 \, dx.$$

*Proof.* Lemma 3 implies that for convex domains  $\Delta \delta_{\Omega}(x) \leq 0$ . By using Lemma 2 we find that  $x \cdot \nabla \delta_{\Omega} \leq 0$ . Besides if  $(d-2)|x| < (d-1)\rho$  then

$$\frac{2}{\delta_{\rho}(x)\delta_{\Omega}(x)} \ge \frac{2(d-1)}{|x|\delta_{\Omega}(x)}$$

and we obtain the statement.

**Remark 4.** In particular, if d = 2, then for any convex domain satisfying properties of Corollary 4 we have

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\rho}} |\nabla u(x)|^2 \, dx \ge \frac{1}{4} \, \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\rho}} \left( \frac{1}{(|x|-\rho)^2} - \frac{1}{|x|^2} + \frac{1}{\delta_{\Omega}^2(x)} \right) |u(x)|^2 \, dx$$

and if  $\rho \to 0$ , then we recover (2).

It has been noticed in the recent paper of R. Frank and R. Seiringer [10] (see Lemma 4.3) that for any non-negative symmetric decreasing function u in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ 

(3) 
$$||u||_{2^*,2}^2 = v_d^{-2/d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{u^2(x)}{|x|^2} \, dx,$$

where  $||u||_{2^*,2}$  is the Lorentz norm and  $2^* = 2d/(d-2)$ . Combining (3) with Corollary 2 we obtain

**Theorem 2.** Let  $B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| < R\}, d \ge 3 \text{ and } \delta_R(x) = R - |x|$ be the distance from x to the boundary  $\partial B_R$ . Then for any non-negative symmetric decreasing function  $u \in H_0^1(B_R)$  we have

(4) 
$$\int_{B_R} |\nabla u(x)|^2 \, dx \ge \frac{1}{4} \, \int_{B_R} \frac{u^2(x)}{\delta_R^2(x)} \, dx + v_d^{2/d} \left(\frac{(d-2)}{2}\right)^2 \|u\|_{2^*,2}^2.$$

Using that

$$\|u\|_{2^*}^2 \leq \frac{d-2}{d} \|u\|_{2^*,2}^2$$

(see [10]) and (4) we obtain the following Hardy-Sobolev inequality with constants which are independent of the radius of the ball.

Corollary 5. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2

$$\int_{B_R} |\nabla u(x)|^2 \, dx \ge \frac{1}{4} \left[ \int_{B_R} \frac{u^2(x)}{\delta_R^2(x)} \, dx + d(d-2) \, v_d^{2/d} \, \|u\|_{2^*}^2 \right].$$

# 1. Some auxiliary results

We begin with a statement which is a simple corollary of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and partial integration. Different versions of this statement could be found for example in [11] and [12].

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and let  $\mathcal{F}(x)$  be a vector field  $x \in \Omega$ . Then assuming that  $\mathcal{F}(x)$  and div  $\mathcal{F}(x)$  are finite for  $x \in \text{supp } u$  we obtain

(5) 
$$\frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \left( 2 \operatorname{div} \mathcal{F}(x) - |\mathcal{F}(x)|^2 \right) |u(x)|^2 \, dx \le \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx, \quad u \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega).$$

*Proof.* Indeed

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} \mathcal{F}(x)|u(x)|^2 \, dx\right)^2 = \left(\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{F}(x) \cdot \nabla(|u(x)|^2) \, dx\right)^2$$
$$\leq 4 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{F}(x)|^2 |u(x)|^2 \, dx.$$

Then it only remains to notice that

$$\frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \left( 2\operatorname{div} \mathcal{F}(x) - |\mathcal{F}(x)|^2 \right) |u(x)|^2 dx \\
\leq \frac{1}{4} \frac{\left( \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} \mathcal{F}(x) |u(x)|^2 dx \right)^2}{\int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{F}(x)|^2 |u(x)|^2 dx} \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

We now prove a simple geometrical lemma which is valid for bounded convex domains.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a convex bounded domain with  $C^1$  boundary. Then the value of the interior radius  $R_{\text{int}} = \sup \{\delta_{\Omega}(x) : x \in \Omega\}$  is achieved at some point  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and for any  $x \in \Omega$ 

(6) 
$$\nabla \delta_{\Omega}(x) \cdot (x - x_0) \le 0.$$

*Proof.* The function  $\delta_{\Omega} : \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}_+$  defined on the compact set  $\overline{\Omega}$  is continuous. Thus  $R_{\text{int}}$  is achieved at some  $x_0 \in \Omega$ .

Let us now consider sets  $\Omega_r$ ,  $0 < r < R_{\text{int}}$  such that

$$\Omega_r = \{ x \in \Omega : \, \delta_\Omega(x) > r \}.$$

Domains  $\Omega_r$  are convex and obviously  $x_0 \in \Omega_r$  for  $0 < r \leq R_{\text{int}}$ . Then for any  $x \in \partial \Omega_r$  the vector  $-\nabla \delta_{\Omega}(x)$  is the unit outer normal to  $\partial \Omega_r$  at x. Then obviously  $-\nabla \delta_{\Omega}(x) \cdot (x - x_0) \geq 0$  which completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 5.** The statement of Lemma 2 remains true for an arbitrary bounded convex domain. In this case at points where  $\nabla \delta_{\Omega}(x)$  is not uniquely defined, one should consider in (6) a normal to any of the supporting hyperplanes to  $\Omega_r$  at  $x \in \partial \Omega_r$ .

The next result concerning the Laplacian of the distance function for convex domain is well known.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 2$ , be a convex domain and let  $\delta_\Omega$  be the distance function to its boundary. Then  $-\Delta \delta_\Omega(x)$  is a positive measure.

*Proof.* Let  $y_0 \in \Omega$  and assume that  $\nabla \delta_{\Omega}(y_0)$  exists. Consider an orthornormal system of coordinates  $\{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{d-1}\}$  in the hyperplane defined by  $y_0 \in \Omega$  and by  $-\nabla \delta_{\Omega}(y)$ . In these coordinates the boundary  $\partial \Omega$  could be represented by a concave function from the class Lip<sub>1</sub>. Note that  $\partial_{y_d} \delta_{\Omega}(y_0) = 0$ ,

where  $y_d$  is the coordinate along  $\nabla \delta_{\Omega}(y)$ . Using the fact that the Laplacian  $\Delta$  is invariant under rotations and parallel transport we therefore obtain that  $\Delta \delta_{\Omega}(y_0) \leq 0$ .

2. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

Let

$$\mathcal{F}(x) = \frac{(d-1)x}{|x|^2} - \frac{\nabla \delta_{\rho}(x)}{\delta_{\rho}(x)} - \frac{\nabla \delta_{\Omega}(x)}{\delta_{\Omega}(x)}$$

Then using that  $|\nabla \delta_{\rho}(x)| = |\nabla \delta_{\Omega}(x)| = 1$  we obtain

(7) 
$$\operatorname{div} \mathcal{F}(x) = \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{|x|^2} + \frac{1}{\delta_{\rho}^2(x)} + \frac{1}{\delta_{\Omega}^2(x)} - \frac{(d-1)}{|x|\delta_{\rho}(x)} - \frac{\Delta\delta_{\Omega}(x)}{\delta_{\Omega}(x)}.$$

and since  $\nabla \delta_{\rho}(x) = x/|x|$ 

$$(8) \quad |\mathcal{F}(x)|^{2} = \frac{(d-1)^{2}}{|x|^{2}} + \frac{1}{\delta_{\rho}^{2}(x)} + \frac{1}{\delta_{\Omega}^{2}(x)} \\ - 2\frac{(d-1)}{|x|\delta_{\rho}(x)} - 2\frac{(d-1)x \cdot \nabla\delta_{\Omega}(x)}{|x|^{2}\delta_{\Omega}(x)} + 2\frac{x \cdot \nabla\delta_{\Omega}(x)}{|x|\delta_{\rho}(x)\delta_{\Omega}(x)}.$$

Substituting (7) and (8) into the left hand side of (5) we complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Acknowledgments. The authors acknowledge a partial support by the SPECT ESF European programme. F.G. Avkhadiev was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project no. 08-01-00381) and by the Göran Gustafssons Stiftelse in Sweden.

## References

- F. G. Avkhadiev, Hardy Type Inequalities in Higher Dimensions with Explicit Estimate of Constants, Lobachevskii J. Math. 21 (2006), 3–31 (electronic, http://ljm.ksu.ru).
- [2] F. G. Avkhadiev, Hardy-type inequalities on planar and spatial open sets, Proceeding of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics 255 (2006), no.1, 2–12 (translated from Trudy Matem. Inst. V.A. Steklova, 2006, v.255, 8–18).
- [3] F. G. Avkhadiev, K.-J. Wirths, Unified Poincaré and Hardy inequalities with sharp constants for convex domains, Z. Angew. Math. Mech. 87 (2007), 632– 642.
- [4] H.Brezis and M. Marcus, Hardy's inequalities revisited. Dedicated to Ennio De Giorgi. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 25 (1997), no. 1-2 (1998), 217–237.
- [5] E.B.Davies, Spectral theory and differential operators. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 42. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. x+182 pp.
- [6] E. B. Davies, A Review of Hardy inequalities, The Maz'ya anniversary Collection. Vol. 2. Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. 110 (1999), 55–67.
- [7] E. B. Davies, The Hardy constant, Quart.J.math. Oxford (2) 46 (1995), 417– 431.

- [8] S. Fillippas, V. Maz'ya, A. Tertikas, Sharp Hardy-Sobolev Inequalities, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 339 (2004), no. 7, 483–486.
- S. Fillippas, L. Moschini, A. Tertikas, Sharp twosided heat kernel estimates for critical Schrödinger operators on bounded domains, Commun. Math. Phys. 273 (2007), 237281.
- [10] R.L. Frank and R.Seiringer, Non-linear ground state representations and sharp Hardy inequalities. arXiv:0803.0503v1 [math.AP] 4 Mar 2008.
- [11] M. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, T. Hoffmann-Ostenhof and A. Laptev, A geometrical version of Hardy's inequalities, J. Funct. Anal. 189 (2002), no 2, 539–548.
- [12] M.Hoffmann-Ostenhof, Th.Hoffmann-Ostenhof, A.Laptev and J.Tidblom, Many Particle Hardy Inequalities, accepted by JLMS.

F. G. AVKHADIEV: Chebotarev Research Institute, Kazan State University, 420008 Kazan, Russia. favhadiev@ksu.ru

A. LAPTEV: Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK, Royal Institute of Technology, 100 44 Stockholm, Sweden. a.laptev@imperial.ac.uk