

A sharp Lieb–Thirring inequality for functional difference operators

Ari LAPTEV ^a and Lukas SCHIMMER ^b

^{a)} *Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK and SPBU, Russia*

E-mail: a.laptev@imperial.ac.uk

^{b)} *Institut Mittag–Leffler, The Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences, 182 60 Djursholm, Sweden*

E-mail: lukas.schimmer@kva.se

Received ???, in final form ???; Published online ???

https://doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.202*.***

Abstract. We prove sharp Lieb–Thirring type inequalities for the eigenvalues of a class of one-dimensional functional difference operators associated to mirror curves. We furthermore prove that the bottom of the essential spectrum of these operators is a resonance state.

Key words: Lieb–Thirring inequality; functional difference operator; semigroup property

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47A75; 81Q10

1 Introduction

Let P be the self-adjoint quantum mechanical momentum operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, i.e. $P = -i\frac{d}{dx}$ and for $b > 0$ denote by $U(b)$ the Weyl operator $U(b) = \exp(-bP)$. By using the Fourier transform

$$\widehat{\psi}(k) = (\mathcal{F}\psi)(k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi i k x} \psi(x) dx$$

we can write the domain of $U(b)$ as

$$\text{dom}(U(b)) = \left\{ \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : e^{-2\pi b k} \widehat{\psi}(k) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \right\}.$$

Equivalently, $\text{dom}(U(b))$ consists of those functions $\psi(x)$ which admit an analytic continuation to the strip $\{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < y < b\}$ such that $\psi(x + iy) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for all $0 \leq y < b$ and there is a limit $\psi(x + ib - i0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \psi(x + ib - i\varepsilon)$ in the sense of convergence in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, which we will denote simply by $\psi(x + ib)$. The domain of the inverse operator $U(b)^{-1}$ can be characterised similarly.

For $b > 0$ we define the operator $W_0(b) = U(b) + U(b)^{-1} = 2 \cosh(bP)$ on the domain

$$\text{dom}(W_0(b)) = \left\{ \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : 2 \cosh(2\pi b k) \widehat{\psi}(k) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \right\}.$$

The operator $W_0(b)$ is self-adjoint and unitarily equivalent to the multiplication operator $2 \cosh(2\pi b k)$ in Fourier space. Its spectrum is thus absolutely continuous covering the interval $[2, \infty)$ doubly.

Let $V \geq 0, V \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ now be a real-valued potential function. The scalar inequality $2 \cosh(2\pi b k) - 2 \geq (2\pi b k)^2$ implies the operator inequality

$$W_0(b) - 2 \geq -b^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \tag{1.1}$$

on $\text{dom}(W_0(b))$. By Sobolev's inequality, we can conclude that the operator

$$W_V(b) = W_0(b) - V.$$

is symmetric and bounded from below on the common domain of $W_0(b)$ and V . We can thus consider its Friedrichs extension, which we continue to denote by $W_V(b)$. This operator acts as

$$(W_V(b)\psi)(x) = \psi(x + ib) + \psi(x - ib) - V(x)\psi(x).$$

Furthermore, by an application of Weyl's theorem (in a version for quadratic forms) and Rellich's lemma together with the fact that the form domain of $W_0(b)$ is continuously embedded in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ (as discussed at the beginning of Sect. 4) the spectrum of $W_V(b)$ consists of essential spectrum $[2, \infty)$ and discrete finite-multiplicity eigenvalues below. Details of this argument in the similar case of a Schrödinger operator can be found in the upcoming book [3, Proposition 4.14].

We will show that the discrete spectrum satisfies a version of Lieb–Thirring inequalities for $1/2$ -Riesz means. When formulating the main result of the paper it is convenient to parametrise the eigenvalues (repeated with multiplicities) as $\lambda_j = -2 \cos(\omega_j)$, where $\omega_j \in [0, \pi]$ for $\lambda_j \in [-2, 2]$ and $\omega_j \in i[0, \infty)$ for $\lambda_j \leq -2$. Note that in the latter case $\lambda_j = -2 \cosh(|\omega_j|)$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $V \geq 0$ and let $V \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. If $W_V(b) \geq -2$, then the discrete eigenvalues $\lambda_j = -2 \cos(\omega_j) \in [-2, 2)$ (repeated with multiplicities) satisfy*

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{\sin \omega_j}{\omega_j} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi b} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V(x) dx. \quad (1.2)$$

The constant in the inequality (1.2) is sharp in the sense that there is a potential V such that (1.2) becomes equality.

Remark 1.2. Note that Theorem 1.1 does not allow to estimate eigenvalues below -2 . In fact, from the proof of this theorem, the case of one eigenvalue below -2 could be included in the inequality (1.2). We expect that the inequality holds true for all eigenvalues below -2 . However, the method we use in the proof prevents us from including all eigenvalues due to oscillating properties of the resolvent $(W_0(b) - \lambda)^{-1}$ for $\lambda < -2$.

Lieb–Thirring inequalities were first established for Schrödinger operators in [16]. For a one-dimensional Schrödinger operator $-\frac{d}{dx^2} - V$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with negative eigenvalues $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \dots < 0$, these bounds state that for any $\gamma \geq 1/2$ there is a constant $L_\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} |\mu_j|^\gamma \leq L_\gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}} V(x)^{\gamma+1/2} dx \quad (1.3)$$

for all $V \geq 0, V \in L^{\gamma+1/2}(\mathbb{R})$. The condition $\gamma \geq 1/2$ is optimal. Inequality (1.1) implies that

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} |\lambda_j - 2|^\gamma \leq \frac{L_\gamma}{b} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V(x)^{\gamma+1/2} dx \quad (1.4)$$

for all eigenvalues $\lambda_j \leq 2$ of $W_V(b)$. Under the additional assumption $W_V(b) \geq -2$, our bound (1.2) presents an improvement of (1.4) for $\gamma = 1/2$. This can be seen from the fact that for $\gamma = 1/2$ the sharp constant in (1.3) is given by $L_{1/2} = 1/2$ [7] and from the strict inequality

$$|\lambda_j - 2|^{\frac{1}{2}} = |2 \cos \omega_j + 2|^{\frac{1}{2}} < \frac{\pi \sin \omega_j}{\omega_j}$$

for $\omega_j \in [0, \pi)$. The difference of the terms above vanishes as $\omega_j \rightarrow \pi$, implying that (1.4) is asymptotically optimal for small coupling. While the necessity of $\gamma \geq 1/2$ in the Lieb–Thirring inequality for Schrödinger operators does not allow us to conclude that (1.4) fails for $0 \leq \gamma < 1/2$, we will prove the following.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $b > 0$. If $V \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}} V dx > 0$, then $W_V(b)$ has at least one eigenvalue below 2. Furthermore, if $0 \leq \gamma < 1/2$, then there is no constant L_γ such that (1.4) holds for all compactly supported V . This conclusion holds even under the assumption that $W_V(b) \geq -2$.*

The study of different properties of functional difference operators $W_V(b)$ was considered before. In the case when $-V = V_0 = e^{2\pi bx}$ is an exponential function, the operator $W_{V_0}(b)$ first appeared in the study of the quantum Liouville model on the lattice [1] and plays an important role in the representation theory of the non-compact quantum group $SL_q(2, \mathbb{R})$. The spectral analysis of this operator was first studied in [10], see also [2]. In the case when $-V = 2 \cosh(2\pi bx)$ the spectrum of $W_V(b)$ is discrete and converges to $+\infty$. Its Weyl asymptotics were obtained in [14]. This result was extended to a class of growing potentials in [15]. More information on spectral properties of functional difference operators can be found in papers [5, 6, 11, 12, 17].

The proof method of Theorem 1.1 is similar to the proof of the sharp Lieb–Thirring inequality (1.3) for a one-dimensional Schrödinger operator in the case $\gamma = 1/2$ as presented in [8]. It relies on a property of convolutions of the resolvent kernels of the operator under consideration. Such a semigroup property was also recently established for Jacobi operators where it was again used to prove sharp Lieb–Thirring type inequalities [13]. With a different proof (not using the convolution property) the sharp inequalities for the Schrödinger operator and the Jacobi operator were first obtained in [7] and in [9], respectively. Despite formal similarity to the case of Jacobi operators, it is still surprising that the proof method works for functional difference operators $W_V(b)$. These operators could be considered as differential operators of infinite order since the symbol $\cosh(2\pi bk)$ can be written as an infinite Taylor series of symbols of even degree w.r.t. the variable k .

2 Free resolvent

Since $W_0(b) \geq 2$ we conclude that $W_0(b) - \lambda$ is an invertible operator for $\lambda < 2$. Let $\lambda = -2 \cos(\omega)$ with $\omega \in [0, \pi]$ if $\lambda \in [-2, 2]$ and $\omega \in i[0, \infty)$ if $\lambda < -2$. Then in Fourier space the inverse of $W_0(b) - \lambda$ is given by the multiplication operator $(2 \cosh(2\pi bk) + 2 \cos(\omega))^{-1}$.

Applying the inverse Fourier transform \mathcal{F}^{-1} to $(2 \cosh(2\pi bk) + 2 \cos(\omega))^{-1}$ we find the kernel of the free resolvent $G_\lambda = (W_0(b) - \lambda)^{-1}$ that is

$$G_\lambda(x, y) = G_\lambda(x - y) = \frac{1}{2b \sin \omega} \frac{\sinh(\frac{\omega}{b}(x - y))}{\sinh(\frac{\pi}{b}(x - y))}. \quad (2.1)$$

Remark 2.1. Note that $G_\lambda(x - y)$ is an even and positive kernel for $\omega \in [0, \pi]$ and it becomes oscillating if $\omega \in i(0, \infty)$. This fact is one of the reasons why we are able to study Lieb–Thirring inequalities only for the eigenvalues $\lambda_j \in [-2, 2]$. This interval contains all of the discrete spectrum if the potential V is “small” enough. However, if V generates eigenvalues lying in $(-\infty, -2)$, then the oscillating property of the Green’s function prevents us from obtaining the desired inequality for all eigenvalues.

Note that the value of G_λ on the diagonal $x = y$ takes the form

$$G_\lambda(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi b} \frac{\omega}{\sin \omega} \quad (2.2)$$

and we can see the relation between the right-hand side of (2.2) and the expression in the left-hand side of (1.2). Due to our parameterisation of the spectral parameter, the convergence $\lambda \rightarrow 2^-$ implies $\omega \rightarrow \pi^-$ and thus

$$G_\lambda(0) \sim \frac{1}{2b} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \cos^2 \omega}} \sim \frac{1}{2b} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 - \lambda}}, \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow 2^-.$$

If $\lambda \rightarrow -\infty$, then $\omega \rightarrow i\infty$ and

$$G_\lambda(0) \sim \frac{1}{\pi b} |\lambda|^{-1} \log |\lambda|.$$

In [2] L. Faddeev and L. A. Takhtajan studied the resolvent in a slightly different form

$$G_\lambda(x, y) = \frac{\sigma}{\sinh(\frac{\pi i \varkappa}{\sigma})} \left(\frac{e^{-2\pi i \varkappa(x-y)}}{1 - e^{-4\pi i \sigma(x-y)}} + \frac{e^{2\pi i \varkappa(x-y)}}{1 - e^{4\pi i \sigma(x-y)}} \right)$$

which coincides with (2.1) with $\sigma = i/2b$, $\lambda = 2 \cosh(2b\pi \varkappa)$ and $\varkappa = \frac{\omega - \pi}{2\pi i b}$. It was pointed out that the free resolvent can be written using the analogues of the Jost solutions

$$f_-(x, \varkappa) = e^{-2\pi i \varkappa x} \quad \text{and} \quad f_+(x, \varkappa) = e^{2\pi i \varkappa x}$$

that appear in the theory of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators. Namely

$$G_\lambda(x - y) = \frac{2\sigma}{C(f_-, f_+)(\varkappa)} \left(\frac{f_-(x, \varkappa)f_+(y, \varkappa)}{1 - e^{\frac{\pi i}{\sigma'}(x-y)}} + \frac{f_-(y, \varkappa)f_+(x, \varkappa)}{1 - e^{-\frac{\pi i}{\sigma'}(x-y)}} \right)$$

where $\sigma'\sigma = -1/4$ and where $C(f, g)$ is the so-called Casorati determinant (a difference analogue of the Wronskian) of the solutions of the functional-difference equation

$$C(f, g)(x, \varkappa) = f(x + 2\sigma', \varkappa)g(x, \varkappa) - f(x, \varkappa)g(x + 2\sigma', \varkappa).$$

For the Jost solutions $C(f_-, f_+)(x, \varkappa) = 2 \sinh(\frac{\pi i \varkappa}{\sigma})$.

The equality $(W_0(b) - \lambda)G(x - y) = \delta(x - y)$ could be interpreted as an equation of distributions. Since the functions $f_\pm(x, k)$ are Jost solutions, the distribution defined by $(W_0(b) - \lambda)G(x - y)$ is supported only at $x = y$, and its singular part coincides with the singular part of the distribution

$$-\frac{2\sigma\sigma'}{\pi i C(f_-, f_+)(\varkappa)} \left(\frac{f_-(x + 2\sigma', \varkappa)f_+(y, \varkappa) - f_-(y, \varkappa)f_+(x + 2\sigma', \varkappa)}{x - y - i0} + \frac{f_-(x - 2\sigma', \varkappa)f_+(y, \varkappa) - f_-(y, \varkappa)f_+(x - 2\sigma', \varkappa)}{x - y + i0} \right)$$

in the neighbourhood of $x = y$. This singular part is equal to

$$-\frac{2\sigma\sigma'}{\pi i} \left(\frac{1}{x - y - i0} - \frac{1}{x - y + i0} \right) = \delta(x - y)$$

where the authors used the Sokhotski–Plemelj formula. This formula is similar to the respective formula for a Schrödinger operator when the Dirac δ -function appears by differentiating a step function.

3 Proof of inequality (1.2)

3.1 Some auxiliary results

We first collect some results from [8] verbatim. Let A be a compact operator on a Hilbert space \mathcal{G} and let us denote

$$\|A\|_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \sqrt{\lambda_j(A^*A)}$$

where $\lambda_j(A^*A)$ are the eigenvalues of A^*A in decreasing order. Then by Ky-Fan's inequality (see for example [4], Lemma 4.2) the functionals $\|\cdot\|_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, are norms and thus for any unitary operator Y in \mathcal{G} we have

$$\|Y^*AY\|_n = \|A\|_n.$$

Definition 3.1. Let A, B be two compact operators on \mathcal{G} . We say that A majorises B or $B \prec A$, iff

$$\|B\|_n \leq \|A\|_n, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Lemma 3.2. Let A be a nonnegative compact operator acting in \mathcal{G} , $\{Y(k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{R}}$ be a family of unitary operators on \mathcal{G} , and let $g(k) dk$ be a probability measure on \mathbb{R} . Then the operator

$$B = \int_{\mathbb{R}} Y(k)^* A Y(k) g(k) dk$$

is majorised by A .

Proof. This is a simple consequence of the triangle inequality

$$\|B\|_n \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|Y^*(k)AY(k)\|_n g(k) dk = \|A\|_n \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(k) dk = \|A\|_n. \quad \blacksquare$$

Let $\lambda_j = -2 \cos \omega_j \leq 2$ be the eigenvalues of $W_0(b) - V$ with $V \geq 0$. In order to slightly simplify the notations it is convenient to write

$$\lambda_j = -2 \cos(\sqrt{\theta_j})$$

with $\theta_j \in (-\infty, \pi^2]$ and $\omega_j^2 = \theta_j$.

Let us denote by K_λ the Birman–Schwinger operator

$$K_\lambda = V^{1/2} G_\lambda V^{1/2}. \quad (3.1)$$

Let $\mu_j(K_\lambda)$ be the eigenvalues (in decreasing order) of the Birman–Schwinger operator K_λ defined in (3.1). Then due to the Birman–Schwinger principle we have

$$1 = \mu_j(K_{\lambda_j}). \quad (3.2)$$

Let us define the operator

$$L_\theta := \frac{1}{G_{-2 \cos \sqrt{\theta}}(0)} K_{-2 \cos \sqrt{\theta}},$$

where $G_{-2 \cos \sqrt{\theta}}(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi b} \frac{\sqrt{\theta}}{\sin \sqrt{\theta}}$ is given in (2.2). Then from (3.2) we obtain

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{1}{G_{\lambda_j}(0)} = \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{1}{G_{\lambda_j}(0)} \mu_j(K_{\lambda_j}) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \mu_j(L_{\theta_j}).$$

The integral kernel of the operator L_θ is given by $\sqrt{V(x)} g_{\pi^2, \theta}(x - y) \sqrt{V(y)}$ where

$$g_{\pi^2, \theta}(x) := \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\theta}} \frac{\sinh(\frac{\sqrt{\theta}}{b} x)}{\sinh(\frac{\pi}{b} x)}.$$

Consider a more general function

$$g_{\varphi,\theta}(x) := \frac{\sqrt{\varphi} \sinh(\frac{\sqrt{\theta}}{b}x)}{\sqrt{\theta} \sinh(\frac{\sqrt{\varphi}}{b}x)}.$$

Since $g_{\varphi,\theta}(0) = 1$ its Fourier transform $\widehat{g}_{\varphi,\theta} = \mathcal{F}(g_{\varphi,\theta})$ satisfies the equation

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{g}_{\varphi,\theta}(k) dk = 1.$$

Moreover, for any $-\infty < \theta < \varphi$ with $0 < \varphi < \pi^2$ we have

$$\widehat{g}_{\varphi,\theta}(k) = \mathcal{F} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\varphi} \sinh(\frac{\sqrt{\theta}}{b}x)}{\sqrt{\theta} \sinh(\frac{\sqrt{\varphi}}{b}x)} \right) (k) = \frac{2\pi \sin(\pi \frac{\sqrt{\theta}}{\sqrt{\varphi}})}{\sqrt{\theta}} \frac{b}{2 \cosh(\frac{2\pi^2 bk}{\sqrt{\varphi}}) + 2 \cos(\frac{\pi\sqrt{\theta}}{\sqrt{\varphi}})}.$$

and the right-hand side is positive. Thus $\widehat{g}_{\varphi,\theta} dk$ is a probability measure for such values.

Note also that importantly

$$\frac{g_{\pi^2,\theta}(x)}{g_{\pi^2,\theta'}(x)} = \frac{\sqrt{\theta'} \sinh(\frac{\sqrt{\theta}}{b}x)}{\sqrt{\theta} \sinh(\frac{\sqrt{\theta'}}{b}x)} = g_{\theta',\theta}(x)$$

and therefore

$$(\widehat{g}_{\pi^2,\theta'} * \widehat{g}_{\theta',\theta})(k) = \widehat{g}_{\pi^2,\theta}(k).$$

This is the interesting convolution/semigroup property mentioned in the introduction. In the special case $-\infty < \theta < 0 = \theta'$ analogous computations lead to the same result with $\widehat{g}_{0,\theta}(k) = \chi_{[-1,1]}(2\pi bk / \sqrt{|\theta|}) \pi b / \sqrt{|\theta|}$.

Lemma 3.3. (*Monotonicity*)

For (θ, θ') such that $-\infty < \theta \leq \theta'$ and $0 \leq \theta' < \pi^2$ we have $L_{\theta} \prec L_{\theta'}$.

Proof. Let $Y(k) : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ be the unitary multiplication operator

$$(Y(k)\psi)(x) = e^{-2\pi i k x} \psi(x)$$

and let T be the projection onto $V^{1/2}$, i.e.

$$(T\psi)(x) = V^{1/2}(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} V^{1/2}(y) \psi(y) dy.$$

Using $Y(k' + k'') = Y(k')Y(k'')$ and Lemma 3.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\theta} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} Y(k)^* T Y(k) \widehat{g}_{\pi^2,\theta}(k) dk \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} Y(k)^* T Y(k) \widehat{g}_{\pi^2,\theta'}(k') \widehat{g}_{\theta',\theta}(k - k') dk' dk \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} Y(k'')^* \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} Y(k')^* T Y(k') \widehat{g}_{\pi^2,\theta'}(k') dk' \right) Y(k'') \widehat{g}_{\theta',\theta}(k'') dk'' \prec L_{\theta'}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $\widehat{g}_{\theta',\theta} dk$ is a probability measure. ■

Remark 3.4. With a slight abuse of notations, Lemma 3.3 says that $L_{\lambda} \prec L_{\lambda'}$ for any $\lambda < 2$ as long as $\lambda \leq \lambda'$ and $-2 \leq \lambda' < 2$.

3.2 Proof of inequality (1.2)

We now enumerate the eigenvalues of the operator $W_V(b)$ belonging to the interval $[-2, 2)$ such that $-2 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots$ repeated with multiplicity. By using the monotonicity established in Lemma 3.3 we have a sequence of inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{G_{\lambda_1}(0)} &= 2\pi b \frac{\sin \omega_1}{\omega_1} = \mu_1(L_{\theta_1}) \leq \mu_1(L_{\theta_2}), \\ \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{1}{G_{\lambda_j}(0)} &= 2\pi b \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{\sin \omega_j}{\omega_j} \leq \sum_{j=1}^2 \mu_j(L_{\theta_2}) \leq \sum_{j=1}^2 \mu_j(L_{\theta_3}), \\ \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{1}{G_{\lambda_j}(0)} &= 2\pi b \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\sin \omega_j}{\omega_j} \leq \sum_{j=1}^3 \mu_j(L_{\theta_3}) \leq \sum_{j=1}^3 \mu_j(L_{\theta_4}), \quad \text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

Note that we do not use any assumptions on the multiplicities of the eigenvalues, other than their finiteness. Furthermore, by Lemma 3.3 the same results also hold true if a single eigenvalue is below -2 . Continuing the above process and noting that L_{π^2} is a rank-one operator with the single eigenvalue $\int_{\mathbb{R}} V dx$, we finally obtain

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{\sin \omega_j}{\omega_j} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi b} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V(x) dx.$$

The proof is complete.

Remark 3.5. Note that $\frac{2 \cosh(2\pi bk) - 2}{b^2} \rightarrow (2\pi k)^2$ tends to the symbol of the second derivative as $b \rightarrow 0$ and that $W_{b^2 V}(b) \geq -2$ for sufficiently small b . We thus expect that it should be possible to recover the Lieb–Thirring inequality (1.3) for a Schrödinger operator with the sharp constant $L_{1/2} = 1/2$ from Theorem 1.1.

4 Sharpness of inequality (1.2)

Similarly to the case of Schrödinger operators, we aim to prove that the Lieb–Thirring inequality becomes an equality for Dirac-delta potentials. To this end let $c > 0$ and consider the potential $V_c(x) = c\delta(x)$. To properly define $W_{V_c}(b)$, we first note that the quadratic form $\langle \psi, (W_0(b) - 2)\psi \rangle$ can be written as

$$\langle \psi, (W_0(b) - 2)\psi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |2 \sinh(\pi bk) \widehat{\psi}(k)|^2 dk = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi(x + ib/2) - \psi(x - ib/2)|^2 dx. \quad (4.1)$$

This can be seen by introducing the self-adjoint operator $D(b) = U(b/2) - U(b/2)^{-1} = 2 \sinh(bP/2)$ and checking that $D(b)^2 = W_0(b) - 2$ either directly or by means of the identity $\cosh(2\pi bk) - 1 = 2 \sinh(\pi bk)^2$. The form domain of $W_0(b)$ is thus $\text{dom}(D(b)) = \text{dom}(W_0(b/2)) \subset H^1(\mathbb{R})$ and on this domain Sobolev’s inequality yields that

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(0)|^2 &\leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi'(x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{b^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |2 \sinh(\pi bk) \widehat{\psi}(k)|^2 dk + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi(x)|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

for any choice of $\varepsilon > 0$. The KLMN theorem thus allows us to define $W_0(b) - V_c$. As a rank one perturbation of the operator $W_0(b)$ the potential V_c generates no more than one eigenvalue below the continuous spectrum $[2, \infty)$.

In Fourier space the eigenequation $(W_0(b) - c\delta)\psi_c = \lambda\psi_c$ becomes

$$2 \cosh(2\pi bk) \widehat{\psi}_c(k) - c\psi_c(0) = \lambda \widehat{\psi}_c(k)$$

by means of the formal identity $\mathcal{F}(\delta\psi_c) = \psi_c(0)$. Writing again $\lambda = -2 \cos \omega$ we obtain

$$\widehat{\psi}_c(k) = \frac{c\psi_c(0)}{2 \cosh(2\pi bk) + 2 \cos \omega} \quad (4.2)$$

and therefore

$$\psi_c(x) = c\psi_c(0)G_{-2 \cos \omega}(x) = \frac{c\psi_c(0)}{2b \sin \omega} \frac{\sinh(\frac{\omega}{b}x)}{\sinh(\frac{\pi}{b}x)}. \quad (4.3)$$

Of course we could have seen this immediately by using the equation for the Green's function

$$(W_0(b) + 2 \cos \omega)G_{-2 \cos \omega}(x) = \delta(x).$$

Letting $x \rightarrow 0$ in (4.3) we find

$$1 = \frac{c}{2b \sin \omega} \frac{\omega}{\pi}$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{\sin \omega}{\omega} = \frac{c}{2\pi b}. \quad (4.4)$$

Since $\frac{\sin \sqrt{\theta}}{\sqrt{\theta}}$ is a monotone decreasing function of $\theta = \omega^2 \in (-\infty, \pi^2]$ that takes all values in $[0, \infty)$, for any $c > 0$ there is a unique solution ω_c to (4.4) and vice versa. If $c/(2\pi b) < 1$ then $\omega_c \in (0, \pi)$ and otherwise $\omega_c \in i[0, \infty)$. Since $\int V_c dx = c$, the identity (4.4) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\sin \omega}{\omega} = \frac{1}{2\pi b} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V_c(x) dx$$

showing that the Lieb–Thirring inequality is satisfied for potentials $-c\delta$ with a single eigenvalue that can be placed anywhere in $(-\infty, 2)$ by choosing $c > 0$ suitably.

Remark 4.1. If we choose the normalising constant $\psi(0) > 0$ then the eigenfunction defined in (4.3)

$$\psi_c(x) = \frac{c\psi(0)}{2b \sin \omega_c} \frac{\sinh(\frac{\omega_c}{b}x)}{\sinh(\frac{\pi}{b}x)}$$

is positive assuming that the coupling constant c is small enough satisfying the inequality $c/(2\pi b) \leq 1$ and thus $\omega_c \in [0, \pi)$. Note that if $c/(2\pi b) = 1$ then $\omega_c = 0$ and

$$\psi_c(x) = \frac{\pi\psi(0)x}{b \sinh(\frac{\pi}{b}x)} > 0.$$

However, if the coupling constant $c > 2\pi b$ then $\omega_c \in i(0, \infty)$ and hence

$$\psi_c(x) = \frac{c\psi(0)}{2b \sinh |\omega_c|} \frac{\sin(\frac{|\omega_c|}{b}x)}{\sinh(\frac{\pi}{b}x)}$$

is an oscillating function and in particular has an infinite number of zeros. This contradicts a possible conjecture that the eigenfunction for the lowest eigenvalue is strictly positive.

Open Problem. Assume that the discrete spectrum $\sigma_d(W_V(b))$ of the operator $W_V(b)$ satisfies the property $\sigma_d(W_V(b)) \subset [-2, 2)$. Is it true that the eigenfunction corresponding to the lowest eigenvalue could be chosen strictly positive?

5 Necessity of $\gamma \geq 1/2$

The following argument is similar to that presented in the upcoming book [3, Proposition 4.41 and 4.42] for the case of a Schrödinger operator. For $\varepsilon > 0$ let $\psi_\varepsilon(x) = 1/\cosh(2\varepsilon x/b)$. If ε is sufficiently small, say $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, then $\psi_\varepsilon \in \text{dom}(W_0(b))$. Using (4.1) we compute that

$$\langle \psi_\varepsilon, (W_0(b) - 2)\psi_\varepsilon \rangle = \frac{b \sin^2 \varepsilon}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{2 \sinh x}{\cos^2 \varepsilon \cosh^2 x + \sin^2 \varepsilon \sinh^2 x} \right|^2 dx \leq Cb\varepsilon \quad (5.1)$$

for a constant $C > 0$ independent of $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$. For any potential $V \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ it holds that $\langle \psi_\varepsilon, V\psi_\varepsilon \rangle \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}} V dx$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ by dominated convergence and thus for sufficiently small ε

$$\langle \psi_\varepsilon, (W_V(b) - 2)\psi_\varepsilon \rangle < 0.$$

By the Min–Max principle this proves the first part of Theorem 1.3.

For the second assertion of the theorem we choose more specifically the compactly supported potential $V(x) = c\chi_{[-1/2, 1/2]}(x/b)$. By Sobolev’s inequality $W_V(b) \geq -2$ for sufficiently small $c \leq c_0$ such that all the discrete eigenvalues of $W_V(b)$ are contained in $[-2, 2)$. Furthermore $\|\psi_\varepsilon\|^2 = b/\varepsilon$ and, since $\tanh x \geq x/2$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$,

$$\langle \psi_\varepsilon, V\psi_\varepsilon \rangle = cb \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} |\cosh(2\varepsilon x)|^{-2} dx = \frac{cb \tanh \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \geq \frac{1}{2}cb \quad (5.2)$$

for $\varepsilon \leq 1$. We now choose $\varepsilon = c\delta$. If $\delta \leq \min(\varepsilon_0/c_0, 1/c_0)$ such that $\varepsilon \leq \min(\varepsilon_0, 1)$, then (5.1) and (5.2) both hold and

$$\frac{\langle \psi_\varepsilon, (W_V(b) - 2)\psi_\varepsilon \rangle}{\|\psi_\varepsilon\|^2} \leq C\varepsilon^2 - \frac{1}{2}c\varepsilon = c^2\delta \left(C\delta - \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

Choosing $\delta < \min(\varepsilon_0/c_0, 1/c_0, 1/2C)$ we can conclude by the Min–Max principle that $W_V(b) - 2$ has a negative eigenvalue $\lambda_1 \leq -c^2\delta(\frac{1}{2} - C\delta)$. If a Lieb–Thirring inequality (1.4) were to hold for $\gamma < 1/2$ then for some finite L_γ

$$c^{2\gamma}\delta^\gamma \left(\frac{1}{2} - C\delta \right)^\gamma \leq \frac{L_\gamma}{b} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V(x)^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}} dx = L_\gamma c^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}}$$

which is clearly a contradiction if $c \rightarrow 0$.

Acknowledgements

A.Laptev was partially supported by RSF grant 18-11-0032. L.Schimmer was supported by VR grant 2017-04736 at the Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences. The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their useful comments improving the article.

References

- [1] Faddeev L.D., Takhtajan L.A., Liouville model on the lattice, Field theory, quantum gravity and strings (Meudon/Paris, 1984/1985), Lecture Notes in Phys., **246**, Springer, Berlin, pp. 166–179, 1986.
- [2] Faddeev L.D., Takhtajan L.A., On the spectral theory of a functional-difference operator in conformal field theory, *Izvestiya RAN: Ser. Mat.* **79**(2) (2015), 181–204, [arXiv:1408.0307](https://arxiv.org/abs/1408.0307)
- [3] Frank R.L., Laptev A., Weidl T., Schrödinger Operators: Eigenvalues and Lieb–Thirring inequalities, unpublished, 2021.
- [4] Gohberg I.C., Krein M.G, Introduction to the theory of linear non-self-adjoint operators, Trans. Math. Monographs **18**, AMS 1969.

-
- [5] Grassi A., Hatsuda Y., Mariño M., Topological Strings from Quantum Mechanics, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **17**(11) (2016), 3177–3235, [arXiv:1410.3382](#).
- [6] Gu J., Klemm A., Mariño M., Reuter J., Exact solutions to quantum spectral curves by topological string theory, *J. High Energy Phys.* (2015), 025, [arXiv:1506.09176](#)
- [7] Hundertmark D., Lieb E.H., Thomas L.E., A sharp bound for an eigenvalue moment of the one-dimensional Schrödinger operator, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1988), 719–731, [arXiv:math-ph/9806012](#)
- [8] Hundertmark D., Laptev A., Weidl T., New bounds on the Lieb-Thirring constants, *Invent. Math.* **140** (2000), 693–704, [arXiv:math-ph/9906013](#)
- [9] Hundertmark D., Simon B., Lieb-Thirring inequalities for Jacobi matrices, *J. Approx. Theory* **118**(1) (2002), 106–130, [arXiv:math-ph/0112027](#)
- [10] Kashaev R., The quantum dilogarithm and Dehn twists in quantum Teichmüller theory, Integrable structures of exactly solvable two-dimensional models of quantum field theory (Kiev, 2000), 211–221, NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem., bf 35, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2001].
- [11] Kashaev R., Mariño M., Operators from mirror curves and the quantum dilogarithm, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **346**(3) (2016), 967–994, [arXiv:1501.01014](#)
- [12] Kashaev R., Mariño M., Zakany S., Matrix models from operators and topological strings, 2, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **17**(10) (2016), 2741–2781, [arXiv:1505.02243](#)
- [13] Laptev A., Loss M., Schimmer L., On a conjecture by Hundertmark and Simon, submitted. [arXiv:2012.13793](#)
- [14] Laptev A., Schimmer L., Takhtajan L.A., Weyl-type asymptotics and bounds for the eigenvalues of functional-difference operators for mirror curves, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **26** (2016), 288–305, [arXiv:1510.00045](#)
- [15] Laptev A., Schimmer L., Takhtajan L.A., Weyl asymptotics for perturbed functional difference operators, *Journal Math. Phys.* **60** (2019), 103505 (Special issue: XIXth International Congress on Mathematical Physics).
- [16] Lieb E.H., Thirring W.E., Inequalities for the moments of the eigenvalues of the Schrödinger hamiltonian and their relation to Sobolev inequalities, In: *Studies in Mathematical Physics*, pp. 269–303, Princeton University Press, Princeton 1976.
- [17] Takhtajan L.A., Trace formulas for the modified Mathieu equation, In: *Partial Differential Equations, Spectral Theory, and Mathematical Physics*, edited by P. Exner, R. Frank, F. Gesztesy, H. Holden and T. Weidl, pp 426– 442, 2021. [arXiv:2103.00038](#)