

**M2PM3 SOLUTIONS 4. 17.2.2011**

Q1. *Triangle Lemma.*

Draw the line joining  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ , and produce it until it meets triangle  $\Delta$  – at points  $Z_1, Z_2$  say. Then

$$|z_1 - z_2| \leq |Z_1 - Z_2|,$$

with equality iff both  $z_1, z_2$  are *on*  $\Delta$  rather than inside it (so  $z_1 = Z_1, z_2 = Z_2$ ). There are two cases.

(i)  $Z_1, Z_2$  lie on different sides of the triangle. Let  $Z_3$  be the vertex in which these sides meet. Then by the Triangle Inequality,

$$|Z_1 - Z_2| \leq |Z_1 - Z_3| + |Z_2 - Z_3| \leq L_1 + L_2 \leq L,$$

where  $L_1, L_2$  are the lengths of the sides containing  $Z_1, Z_2$ . Combining,  $|z_1 - z_2| \leq L$ .

(ii)  $Z_1, Z_2$  lie on the same side, of length  $L_{12}$  say. Then

$$|Z_1 - Z_2| \leq L_{12} \leq L,$$

and the result follows as in (i).

Q2. *Harmonic conjugates.*

(i) For  $u = x^3 - 3xy^2 - 2y$ :  $u_x = 3x^2 - 3y^2, u_{xx} = 6x; u_y = -6xy - 2, u_{yy} = -6x; u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 6x - 6x = 0$ . So  $u$  is harmonic.

$v_y = u_x = 3x^2 - 3y^2$ . Integrate wrt  $y$ :  $v = 3x^2y - y^3 + F(x)$ . Differentiate wrt  $x$ :  $v_x = -u_y = 6xy + 2 = 6xy + F'(x)$ . So  $F'(x) = 2, F(x) = 2x + c$  (w.l.o.g. take  $c = 0$ ). So  $v = 3x^2y - y^3 + 2$ ;  $f = u + iv = x^3 - 3xy^2 - 2y + 3ix^2y - iy^3 + 2ix = (x + iy)^3 + 2i(x + iy)$ :  $f(z) = z^3 + 2iz$ .

(ii) For  $u = x - xy, u_{xx} = 0, u_{yy} = 0$ , so  $u$  is harmonic.

$v_y = u_x = 1 - y$ . Integrate wrt  $y$ :  $v = y - y^2/2 + F(x)$ . Differentiate wrt  $x$ :  $v_x = F'(x) = -u_y = x, F(x) = x^2/2, v = y - y^2/2 + x^2/2$ ;  $f = u + iv = x - xy + iy + ix^2/2 - iy^2/2 = (x + iy) + \frac{1}{2}i(x + iy)^2$ :  $f = z + iz^2/2$ .

Q3. Let  $f(\theta) := \sin \theta / \theta$ . By L'Hospital's Rule,  $f(0) = 1$ .

$$f'(\theta) = \frac{\theta \cos \theta - \sin \theta}{\theta^2}.$$

The denominator is positive. So it suffices to show that the numerator,  $g(\theta)$  say, is negative on  $(0, \pi/2)$ . But

$$g'(\theta) = \cos \theta - \theta \sin \theta - \cos \theta = -\theta \sin \theta < 0 \quad (0 < \theta < \pi),$$

as required.

Q4.  $\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y) = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1}e^{-t}dt \cdot \int_0^\infty u^{y-1}e^{-u}du$ .  
 Putting  $u = tv$ , this gives

$$\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y) = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1}e^{-t}dt \cdot \int_0^\infty t^{y-1}e^{-tv}v^{y-1}.tdv,$$

or changing the order of integration and writing  $w := t(1+v)$ ,

$$\int_0^\infty v^{y-1}dv \int_0^\infty t^{x+y-1}e^{-t(1+v)}dv = \int_0^\infty w^{x+y-1}e^{-w}dw \cdot \int_0^\infty \frac{v^{y-1}}{(1+v)^{x+y}}dv.$$

As the first integral on RHS is  $\Gamma(x+y)$ , this gives

$$\frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)} = \int_0^\infty \frac{v^{y-1}}{(1+v)^{x+y}}dv,$$

giving the first part. For the second part, make the change of variable  $u := 1/(1+v)$ ; then  $1-u = v/(1+v)$ ,  $du = -dv/(1+v)^2$ , and  $v = 0, \infty$  correspond to  $u = 1, 0$ . So

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{v^{y-1}}{(1+v)^{x+y}}dv = \int_0^1 (1-u)^{x-1}u^{y-1}du.$$

But the LHS, and so the RHS, is symmetrical between  $x$  and  $y$ , so this completes the proof.

Using the alternative probabilistic method via the convolution formula (we switch from  $x, y$  to  $\lambda, \mu$ , for convenience): if  $X, Y$  have densities  $f, g$ ,  $X + Y$  has density  $h = f * g$ , the convolution of  $f$  and  $g$ , where

$$h(x) = \int_0^x f(y)g(x-y)dy \quad (x > 0).$$

Here  $f(x) = x^{\lambda-1}e^{-x}/\Gamma(\lambda)$ ,  $g(x) = x^{\mu-1}e^{-x}/\Gamma(\mu)$ , so

$$h(x) = \int_0^\infty f(x-y)g(y)dy = \int_0^\infty \frac{(x-y)^{\lambda-1}e^{-(x-y)}}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \cdot \frac{y^{\mu-1}e^{-y}}{\Gamma(\mu)}dy.$$

The RHS is

$$\frac{e^{-x}}{\Gamma(\lambda)\Gamma(\mu)} \cdot \int_0^x (x-y)^{\lambda-1}y^{\mu-1}dy.$$

Putting  $y = xu$  in the integral, this is

$$\frac{x^{\lambda+\mu-1}e^{-x}}{\Gamma(\lambda)\Gamma(\mu)} \cdot \int_0^1 (1-u)^{\lambda-1}u^{\mu-1}du = \frac{x^{\lambda+\mu-1}e^{-x}}{\Gamma(\lambda)\Gamma(\mu)} \cdot B(x, y).$$

This is  $c \cdot x^{\lambda+\mu-1}e^{-x}$  for some constant  $c$ . This shows two things:

- (i)  $h$  is a Gamma density,  $\Gamma(\lambda + \mu)$ , from its functional form,
- (ii)  $c = 1/\Gamma(\lambda + \mu)$  (this is the constant required to make the density integrate to 1, as it must).

The result follows on equating the two expressions for the constant  $c$ .

NHB