

## §5. INFINITE PRODUCTS

For a formal infinite product  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n$ , write

$$p_n := \prod_{k=1}^n u_k$$

for the  $n$ th *partial product*.

*Defn.* (i) If no factor  $u_n$  is 0, we say  $\prod_1^{\infty} u_n$  *converges to*  $p \neq 0$  if the sequence  $p_n$  converges to  $p \neq 0$ .

(ii) If finitely many  $u_n$  are 0, say  $u_n \neq 0$  for  $n > N$ ,

$$\prod_1^{\infty} u_n := u_1 \dots u_N \prod_{N+1}^{\infty} u_n$$

(convergent or divergent as in (i)).

(iii) If infinitely many  $u_n = 0$ , say  $\prod_1^{\infty}$  *diverges to* 0.

(iv)  $\prod_1^{\infty} u_n$  *diverges* if it does not converge as in (i) or (ii).

*Cauchy criterion for products.* As for sums:  $\prod_1^{\infty} u_n$  converges iff

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N \text{ s.t. } \forall n \geq N \forall p \geq 0, |u_{n+1} \dots u_{n+p} - 1| < \epsilon.$$

**Theorem.** If each  $a_n > 0$ ,  $\prod(1 + a_n)$  converges iff  $\sum a_n$  converges.

*Proof.* Write  $s_n := a_1 + \dots + a_n$ ,  $p_n := (1 + a_1) \dots (1 + a_n)$ . Multiply out:

$$p_n = 1 + a_1 + \dots + a_n + a_1 a_2 + \dots > 1 + a_1 + \dots + a_n = 1 + s_n > s_n : \quad p_n > s_n.$$

But  $1 + x \leq e^x$  for  $x \geq 0$ , so taking  $x = a_k$  and multiplying,  $p_n \leq e^{s_n}$ .

Combining,  $p_n$  bounded iff  $s_n$  bounded; each is increasing (as  $a_n > 0$ ), so (as sequences) they converge or diverge together. As  $p_n \geq 1$ , if  $p_n \rightarrow p$ , then  $p \geq 1$ , so the sequence  $p_n$  cannot converge to 0. //

*Defn.*  $\prod(1 + a_n)$  *converges absolutely* if  $\prod(1 + |a_n|)$  converges.

As with sequences: absolute convergence implies convergence.

## §6. THE RIEMANN-LEBESGUE LEMMA.

For  $\phi : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  integrable, meaning

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\phi(t)| dt < \infty,$$

define the *Fourier transform*  $\hat{\phi}$  by

$$\hat{\phi}(\lambda) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\lambda t} \phi(t) dt.$$

This exists, as  $|e^{i\lambda t} \phi(t)| \leq |\phi(t)|$  and  $\int |\phi| < \infty$ .

**Th. (Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma).** If  $\int |\phi| < \infty$  and  $\phi$  has continuous derivative ( $\phi \in C^1$ ), then

$$\hat{\phi}(\lambda) \rightarrow 0 \quad (|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty).$$

*Proof.* Choose  $\epsilon > 0$ , and then take  $T$  so large that  $\int_T^\infty |\phi| < \epsilon$ ,  $\int_{-\infty}^{-T} |\phi| < \epsilon$ . Then also  $|\int_T^\infty e^{i\lambda t} \phi(t) dt| < \epsilon$ ,  $|\int_{-\infty}^{-T} e^{i\lambda t} \phi(t) dt| < \epsilon$  (as  $|\int \dots| \leq \int |\dots|$ ). As  $\phi'$  is continuous on  $[-T, T]$ , it is bounded there, by  $M$  say. Write

$$\hat{\phi}_T(\lambda) := \int_{-T}^T e^{i\lambda t} \phi(t) dt.$$

Integrating by parts,

$$\hat{\phi}_T(\lambda) = \frac{1}{i\lambda} [e^{i\lambda t} \phi(t)]_{-T}^T - \frac{1}{i\lambda} \int_{-T}^T e^{i\lambda t} \phi'(t) dt.$$

So

$$|\phi_T(\lambda)| \leq \frac{1}{|\lambda|} (|\phi(T)| + |\phi(-T)|) + \frac{2TM}{|\lambda|} \rightarrow 0 \quad (|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty).$$

So  $|\phi_T(\lambda)| < \epsilon$  for  $|\lambda|$  large enough. Adding in  $\int_{-\infty}^{-T}$  and  $\int_T^\infty$ ,  $|\phi(\lambda)| \leq 3\epsilon$  for  $|\lambda|$  large enough. //

*Note.* We use here the *Riemann integral*. This suffices for this course, and you know it. The result is also true for the *Lebesgue integral* (more general, and easier to handle, so better, but harder to set up) – which not all of you know. With Lebesgue integrals, we do not need to assume  $\phi'$  exists (or is continuous).