m3pm16l29.tex

Lecture 29. 18.3.2015.

Proof of the Borel-Caratheodory Theorem (continued).

If f = u + iv, the real part of the denominator is 2A(R) - u, and as A(R) > 0 (above) and $A := \sup u$, this is non-zero (> 0). So the denominator is non-zero, so g is holomorphic. Also g(0) = 0 as f(0) = 0. As

$$g = \frac{u + iv}{2A - u - iv},$$
 $\bar{g} = \frac{u - iv}{2A - u + iv}:$ $|g|^2 = g\bar{g} = \frac{u^2 + v^2}{(2A - u)^2 + v^2}.$

Now

$$-2A(R) + u \le u \le 2A(R) - u$$

(the LH inequality as A(R) > 0, the RH as $u \leq A(R)$). So

$$|u| \le |2A(R) - u|$$
: $u^2 \le (2A(R) - u)^2$: $|g|^2 \le 1$: $|g| \le 1$.

So by Schwarz's Lemma with $M=1, |g(z)| \le r/R$ (|z|=r). Now

$$g = \frac{f}{2A - f}$$
: $2Ag - gf = f$: $f(1+g) = 2Ag$: $f = \frac{2Ag}{1+g}$.

Using $|g| \le r/R$ in the numerator and $|1+g| \ge |1-r/R|$ in the denominator,

$$|f(z)| \le \frac{2A(R).r/R}{(1-r/R)} = \frac{2A(R)r}{R-r},$$

proving the result in Case I: f(0) = 0. II. If $f(0) \neq 0$: apply I to f(z) - f(0):

$$|f(z) - f(0)| \le \frac{2r}{R - r} \max_{|z| = R} Re\{f(z) - f(0)\} \le \frac{2r}{R - r} (A(R) + |f(0)|):$$

$$|f(z)| \le \frac{2r}{R-r} A(R) + |f(0)| \left(1 + \frac{2r}{R-r}\right) = \frac{2r}{R-r} A(R) + |f(0)| \left(\frac{R+r}{R-r}\right) :$$

$$M(r) \le \frac{2r}{R-r} A(R) + |f(0)| \left(\frac{R+r}{R-r}\right).$$
//

3. The zero-free region (ZFR).

We give the classical zero-free region of Hadamard and de la Vallée Poussin. We follow Titchmarsh [T], Th. 3.8, [MV] 6.1.

Theorem. For some absolute constant c > 0, $\zeta(s)$ has no zeros in the region

$$\sigma \ge 1 - \frac{c}{\log t}$$
 $(t \ge t_0).$ (ZFR)

Proof. Write $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ for the zeros of ζ . For $(s = \sigma + it \text{ and}) \sigma > 1$,

$$-\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = \sum_{n,m} \frac{\log p}{p^{ms}}, \qquad -Re \ \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = \sum_{n,m} \frac{\log p}{p^{m\sigma}} \cos(mt \log p).$$

So as in III.4, for $\sigma > 1$ and γ real (w.l.o.g. ≥ 2),

$$-3\frac{\zeta'(\sigma)}{\zeta(\sigma)} - 4Re\frac{\zeta'(\sigma + i\gamma)}{\zeta(\sigma + i\gamma)} - Re\frac{\zeta'(\sigma + 2i\gamma)}{\zeta(\sigma + 2i\gamma)}$$
$$= \sum_{p,m} \frac{\log p}{p^{m\sigma}} \{3 + 4\cos(m\gamma\log p) + \cos(2m\gamma\log p)\} \ge 0,$$

as $\{...\} \ge 0$ by III.4. As ζ has a simple pole at 1 of residue 1, so does $-\zeta'/\zeta$:

$$-\frac{\zeta'(\sigma)}{\zeta(\sigma)} < \frac{1}{(\sigma - 1)} + O(1).$$

By the partial fraction expansion for $-\zeta'/\zeta$ (IV.3 L28) and Stirling's formula (IV.2 L27),

$$-\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = O(\log t) - \sum_{\rho} \left(\frac{1}{s-\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho}\right):$$
$$-Re\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = O(\log t) - \sum_{\rho} \left(\frac{\sigma-\beta}{(\sigma-\beta)^2 + (t-\gamma)^2} + \frac{\beta}{\beta^2 + \gamma^2}\right).$$

Each term in the last sum is positive (as $\frac{1}{2} \le \beta < 1$, $\sigma > 1$). So

$$-Re\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} < O(\log t): \qquad -Re\frac{\zeta'(\sigma + 2i\gamma)}{\zeta(\sigma + 2i\gamma)} < O(\log \gamma).$$

Also, taking $s = \sigma + i\gamma$ with $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ gives

$$-Re\frac{\zeta'(\sigma+i\gamma)}{\zeta(\sigma+i\gamma)} < O(\log \gamma) - \frac{1}{\sigma-\beta},$$

discarding every term (as above) except $1/(s-\rho)$. Combining

$$\frac{3}{\sigma - 1} - \frac{4}{\sigma - \beta} + O(\log \gamma) \ge 0 \qquad (\gamma \to \infty).$$