m3pm16soln3.tex

## M3PM16/M4PM16 SOLUTIONS 3. 5.2.2015

Q1 (HW §2.2). From the proof of Q1,  $p_{n+1} \le p_1 p_2 ... p_n + 1$ , so

$$p_{n+1} < p_n^n + 1. \tag{*}$$

Suppose inductively that  $p_n < 2^{2^n}$  for n = 1, ..., N. Then

$$p_{N+1} \le p_1 \dots p_N + 1 < 2^{2+4+\dots+2^N} + 1 = 2^{2^{N+1}-2} + 1 = \frac{1}{4} 2^{2^{N+1}} + 1 < 2^{2^{N+1}},$$

completing the induction.

(ii) For  $n \ge 4$ , let  $x \in (e^{e^{n-1}}, e^{e^n}]$ . Then  $e^{n-1} > 2^n$  [we need  $n \ge 4$  here:  $e^2 < 8 = 2^3$ ]  $[n-1 > n \log 2$ :  $0.75 = 1 - \frac{1}{4} > \log 2 = 0.693$ ..]. So

$$e^{e^{n-1}} > e^{2^n} > 2^{2^n}$$
.

So

$$\pi(x) \ge \pi(e^{e^{n-1}}) \ge \pi(2^{2^n}) \ge n,$$

by (\*). But  $\log \log x \le n$  (as  $x \le e^{e^n}$ ). Combining,

$$\pi(x) \ge \log \log x$$

for  $e^{e^3}$ . But  $\pi(x)$  reaches the level 3 when x = 5, while  $\log \log x$  does so only for  $x = e^{e^3}$ . So the inequality holds also for  $x \le e^{e^3}$ , so for all x. //

Q2 (HW §2.6, Th. 20). (i) If  $2, 3, \ldots, p_j$  are the first j primes and N is the number of  $n \leq x$  not divisible by any  $p > p_j$ : each such n is of the form

$$n = n_1^2 m,$$
  $m = p_1^{c_1} \dots p_i^{c_j},$   $c_i = 0 \text{ or } 1$ 

(any even powers of  $p_i$  being absorbed in  $n_1^2$ ). There are  $2^j$  choices of the powers  $c_i$ , so  $\#m = 2^j$ . Also  $n_1 \leq \sqrt{n} \leq \sqrt{x}$ , so  $\#n_1 \leq \sqrt{x}$ . Combining,

$$N(x) = \#n \le \#m . \#n_1 = 2^j \sqrt{x} : \qquad N(x) \le 2^j \sqrt{x}.$$

(ii) If  $\sum 1/p < \infty$ : choose j so large that  $\sum_{j+1}^{\infty} 1/p_k < 1/2$ . The number of  $n \le x$  divisible by p is  $[x/p] \le x/p$ . So the number of  $n \le x$  divisible by at least one of the  $p_k$   $(k \ge j+1)$  is  $\le x \sum_{j+1}^{\infty} 1/p_k < x/2$ . Combining with (i):

$$\frac{1}{2}x < N(x) \le 2^j \sqrt{x}$$
:  $\sqrt{x} \le 2^{j+1}$ :  $x \le 2^{2j+2}$ .

This is false for large enough x (j is fixed). So  $\sum 1/p$  diverges.

Q3. (i) Take  $j = \pi(x)$ . So  $p_{j+1} > x$ , and N(x) = x. Then (i) gives

$$x = N(x) \le 2^{\pi(x)} \sqrt{x}$$
:  $2^{\pi(x)} \ge \sqrt{x}$ :  $\pi(x) \ge \frac{\log x}{2 \log 2}$ .

(ii) Taking  $x = p_n$ :  $\pi(x) = n$ :  $2^n \ge \sqrt{p_n}$ , so  $p_n \le 4^n$ .

Q4 (HW Th. 5). If 2, 3, ..., p are all the primes up to p, then all numbers n up to p are divisible by at least one of these primes, p' say, by FTA: p'|n, n = p'r' say. So if  $q := 2.3.5...p = \prod p'$ , then all the p-1 numbers q+2, q+3, q+4, ..., q+p are composite: for each is of the form

$$q + n = q + p'r' = p'. \prod p'' + p'r' = p'(r' + \prod p''),$$

where the product is over the primes other than p in the prime-power factorisation of n (counted with multiplicity), and any repetitions of p. So this string of p-1 consecutive numbers forms (part of) a gap between primes. There are arbitrarily large p (Euclid), so arbitrarily long gaps between primes.

Q5 (HW, Th. 11). Again as in Euclid, write  $q := 2^2.3, \dots p-1$ . Then 4|q, so q = 4n + 3 for some n (residue  $3 = -1 \mod 4$ ), and q is not divisible by any of the primes up to p. It cannot be a product of primes of the form 4n + 1, or it too would be of this form. So q is of the form 4n + 3, and there are infinitely may such q, one for each p.

Note. There are also infinitely many primes of the form 4n + 1, but this is harder (HW, Th. 14). More is true: from Dirichlet's PNT for primes in AP (J, Ch. 4), 'half the primes are 4n + 1, half are 4n + 3'.

NHB