

### M3A22/M4A22/M5A22 EXAMINATION 2015-16

Q5 is the Mastery Question, for M4A22/M5A22 candidates only.

Q1. Comment briefly on:

- (a) limited liability;
- (b) moral hazard;
- (c) liquidity;
- (d) size of traders.

Q2. In a (Cox-Ross-Rubinstein) binomial-tree model with discount rate  $1 + \rho$  per period, ‘up’ and ‘down’ factors  $1 + u$ ,  $1 + d$  and ‘up’ and ‘down’ probabilities  $q$ ,  $1 - q$ , find the condition for  $q$  to be the risk-neutral probability.

Describe how to price an American put with strike  $K$  in an  $N$ -period binomial-tree model.

What is the connection here with the Snell envelope?

Q3. (a) Give the stochastic differential equation for  $S = (S_t)$  geometric Brownian motion  $GBM(\mu, \sigma)$  with parameters  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$ . State its solution, without proof.

(b) State the risk-neutral valuation formula (in continuous time), applied to a European call option with stock price  $S_t$  at time  $t \in [0, T]$ , strike price  $K$ , riskless interest rate  $r$ , volatility  $\sigma$  and expiry  $T$ .

(c) Hence or otherwise derive the Black-Scholes formula for the price of the call at time  $t = 0$ :

$$c_0 = S_0 \Phi(d_+) - K e^{-rT} \Phi(d_-), \quad d_{\pm} := [\log(S_0/K) + (r \pm \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T] / \sigma \sqrt{T}. \quad (BS)$$

Q4. (a) Formulate the problem of real options as an optimal-stopping problem.

(b) Show that we may restrict to the case  $0 < \mu < r$ , where  $\mu$  is the mean return on the investment and  $r$  is the riskless interest rate.

(c) Obtain the fundamental quadratic equation, with roots  $p_2 < 0$ ,  $1 < p_1$ .

(d) Show that one should not invest the necessary capital  $I$  unless the initial value is at least  $qI$ , where  $q := p_1 / (p_1 - 1) > 1$ .

(e) Why do arbitrage arguments play no role here?

Q5. (i) Define the Sharpe ratio.

(ii) Describe briefly, without proof, how to derive the Black-Scholes formula

in continuous time from Girsanov's theorem.

(iii) Obtain a hedging strategy for the options under the Black-Scholes model in continuous time. Comment on the practical value of this result.

N. H. Bingham