

*Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors.*

For a square matrix  $A$ , if

$$Ax = \lambda x$$

for a *non-zero* vector  $x$  and scalar  $\lambda$ ,  $x$  is called an *eigenvector*, with *eigenvalue*  $\lambda$  (eigen = proper, German; other terms are proper value/vector, characteristic value/vector). Then

$$Ax - \lambda x = Ax - \lambda Ix = (A - \lambda I)x = 0.$$

But  $x \neq 0$ . Since  $x = 0$  is trivially also a solution (which is why we require  $x$  *non-zero* for an eigenvector), the solution is non-unique. So the matrix  $A - \lambda I$  is singular, i.e. has determinant 0:

$$|A - \lambda I| = 0.$$

This is called the *characteristic equation* (or eigenequation) of  $A$ . It is a polynomial equation of degree  $n$ , the order of  $A$  ( $n \times n$ ), in  $\lambda$ . So by the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra (Ch. II), there are  $n$  roots  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ , possibly complex, counted according to multiplicity.

The characteristic equation  $|A - \lambda I| = 0$  is

$$\begin{vmatrix} \lambda - a_{11} & a_{12} & & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & \lambda - a_{22} & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ a_{n1} & & & \lambda - a_{nn} \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

or

$$\lambda^n - (a_{11} + \dots + a_{nn})\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + (-)^n |A| = 0$$

(for the constant term, put  $\lambda = 0$  to get  $|A|$ ). Write

$$\text{tr } A := a_{11} + \dots + a_{nn}$$

for the *trace* of  $A$ , the sum of the diagonal elements. Thus the ch. equation is

$$\lambda^n - \text{tr } A \lambda^{n-1} + \dots + (-)^n \det A = 0.$$

If all the eigenvalues are *distinct*, one can show that their eigenvectors are *linearly independent* (no sum of multiples can vanish unless all coefficients vanish), meaning that the matrix  $P = [p_1, \dots, p_n]$  they form is non-singular. If the eigenvalues are not distinct, we may not be able to find a full set of linearly independent eigenvectors, and then  $P$  is not similar to a diagonal matrix.

*Example.*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ 3 & -1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \text{ch. equation } \begin{vmatrix} 4 - \lambda & -2 \\ 3 & -1 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

$$(\lambda - 4)(\lambda + 1) = 0, \quad \lambda^2 - 3\lambda + 2 = 0, \quad (\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 1) = 0, \quad \lambda = 1 \text{ or } 2.$$

$$\lambda = 1: Ax = x,$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ 3 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

This gives two equations, both

$$3x_1 - 2x_2 = 0$$

(check!). So  $x_1 = 2x_2/3$ . We can take  $x_2 = 3$  (though any other non-zero choice is possible), and then  $x_1 = 2$ . So the e-vector for the e-value  $\lambda = 1$  is

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly,  $\lambda = 2$  gives two equations, both (check!)

$$2x_1 - 2x_2 = 0.$$

We can take  $x_1 = 1$ , and then  $x_2 = 1$ . So the e-vector for the e-value  $\lambda = 2$  is

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$|P| = 1,$$

$$P^{-1} = - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 3 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

(check). So

$$P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 3 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ 3 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 3 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = D,$$

the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues.

*Symmetry.*

We quote: if  $A$  is *symmetric* (i.e.,  $A = A^T$  – symmetry about the diagonal, interchanging rows and columns has no effect)

(i) the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  are real;

(ii) the eigenvectors  $p_i$  are orthogonal (i.e., if  $P := [p_1, \dots, p_n]$ ,  $P^{-1} = P^T$ ).

*The Cayley-Hamilton Theorem.*

A *matrix polynomial* is the result of replacing the coefficients in a polynomial  $p(x)$  by matrices. Each such matrix polynomial is of the form

$$B(\lambda) = B_0 + B_1\lambda + \dots + B_r\lambda^r,$$

where each  $B_k$  is a matrix of constants and  $B_r = 0$  (unless  $B(\lambda) \equiv 0$ ).

**Lemma.**

If  $B(\lambda)$  is a matrix polynomial and  $C = B(\lambda)(A - \lambda I)$  is a constant matrix,  $C = 0$ .

*Proof.*

Expanding  $B(\lambda)(A - \lambda I)$  gives highest term  $-\lambda^{r+1}B_r$ . There is nothing to cancel this if  $B_r \neq 0$ , so  $B(\lambda) \equiv 0$ , so  $C = 0$ . //

**Theorem (Cayley-Hamilton Theorem).** A matrix satisfies its own characteristic equation. That is, if the ch. equation is

$$f(\lambda) := |A - \lambda I| = b_0 + b_1\lambda + \dots + b_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1} + (-)^n\lambda^n = 0,$$

then

$$f(A) := b_0 + b_1A + \dots + b_{n-1}A^{n-1} + (-)^nA^n = 0.$$

*Proof.* In  $|A - \lambda I| = 0$ , the elements are polynomials in  $\lambda$ . So the minors are also polynomials in  $\lambda$ . The elements of the adjoint  $C(\lambda) := \text{adj}(A - \lambda I)$  are such minors, so

$$C(\lambda) = C_0 + C_1\lambda + \dots + C_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1},$$

say. But by definition of the adjoint,

$$C(\lambda)(A - \lambda I) = \text{adj}(A - \lambda I)(A - \lambda I) = |A - \lambda I|I = f(\lambda)I. \quad (1)$$

Now

$$A^i - \lambda^i I = (A - \lambda I)(A^{i-1} + \lambda A^{i-2} + \dots + \lambda^{i-1} I)$$

(check by multiplying out the RHS and cancelling terms in pairs). So

$$\begin{aligned} f(A) - f(\lambda)I &= \sum_0^n b_i A^i - \sum_0^n b_i \lambda^i I = \sum_0^n b_i (A^i - \lambda^i I) \\ &= \sum_0^n b_i (A - \lambda I)(A^{i-1} + \dots + \lambda^{i-1} I) = D(\lambda)(A - \lambda I), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

say. By (1) and (2),

$$f(\lambda) = [C(\lambda) + D(\lambda)](A - \lambda I).$$

By the Lemma,  $f(A) = 0$  (as the LHS is independent of  $\lambda$  – constant in  $\lambda$ ). //

*Example* (to show that this has practical value, and can save work!). Find  $A^5$ , where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The ‘obvious’ way is by three matrix multiplications:  $A^2 = AA$ ;  $A^4 = A^2 A^2$ ;  $A^5 = AA^4$ . Compare this with the following. The characteristic equation is

$$|A - \lambda I| = \begin{vmatrix} 2 - \lambda & 3 \\ 3 & 5 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = \lambda^2 - 7\lambda + 1 = 0.$$

By the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem,

$$A^2 - 7A + I = 0, \quad A^2 = 7A - I, \quad A = 7I - A^{-1},$$

$$A^{-1} = 7I - A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & -3 \\ -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

(easy anyway:  $|A| = 10 - 9 = 1$ , so  $A^{-1}$  is the transposed matrix of cofactors, so we can read it off from  $A$  by sight – and you should check this). Then

$$A^4 = (A^2)^2 = (7A - I)^2 = 49A^2 - 14A + I = 49(7A - I) - 14A + I = 329A - 48I, \\ (343 - 14 = 329),$$

$$A^5 = A.A^4 = 329A^2 - 48A = 329(7A - I) - 48A = 2255A - 329I$$

$$(2303 - 48 = 2255),$$

$$A^5 = 2255 \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 5 \end{pmatrix} - 329 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4181 & 6765 \\ 6765 & 10946 \end{pmatrix}.$$

## V. FOURIER SERIES AND TRANSFORMS

### 1. FOURIER SERIES

Recall (Ch. II)

$$2 \sin mx \cos nx = \sin(m+n)x + \sin(m-n)x \quad (m, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Integrate from 0 to  $2\pi$ : if  $m \neq n$ ,

$$2 \int_0^{2\pi} \sin mx \cos nx dx = -\frac{1}{(m+n)} [\cos(m+n)x]_0^{2\pi} - \frac{1}{m-n} [\cos(m-n)x]_0^{2\pi}$$

(if  $m = n$ , the second term is 0, so there is no need to integrate it). So: for  $m, n$  integer,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \sin mx \cos nx dx = 0.$$

Similarly,

$$2 \cos mx \cos nx = \cos(m+n)x + \cos(m-n)x.$$

As above, this integrates to 0 by periodicity of  $\sin$ , unless  $m = n$ , when  $2\cos^2 nx = \cos 2nx + 1$ ,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \cos^2 nx dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos 2nx dx + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2\pi = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2n} \sin 2nx \right]_0^{2\pi} + \pi = \pi.$$

$$2 \sin mx \sin nx = \cos(m-n)x - \cos(m+n)x,$$

and similarly

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \sin mx \sin nx dx = 0 \quad (m \neq n), \quad \pi \quad (m = n).$$

Now write, for the *trigonometric functions*,

$$\phi_0(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \quad \phi_{2n-1}(x) = \frac{\cos nx}{\sqrt{\pi}}, \quad \phi_{2n}(x) = \frac{\sin nx}{\sqrt{\pi}} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \phi_m(x) \phi_n(x) dx = \delta_{mn}$$

(writing  $\delta_{mn}$  for the Kronecker delta – 1 if  $m = n$ , 0 otherwise).

Similarly, using complex exponentials: if

$$\phi_n(x) = \frac{e^{inx}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = \frac{\cos nx + i \sin nx}{\sqrt{2\pi}},$$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \phi_m \overline{\phi_n} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(m-n)x} dx = \delta_{mn}.$$

For functions  $f, g$  on  $[0, 2\pi]$ , integrable (say, continuous, or continuous except for finitely many points), we write

$$(f, g) := \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx = \int_0^{2\pi} f \overline{g}$$

(bar = complex conjugate, as in Ch. II), and call this the *inner product* of  $f$  and  $g$ .

*Note.* This is the continuous analogue of the inner product or dot product between vectors.

Take  $f = g$ :  $\sqrt{(f, f)}$  is called the *norm* of  $f$ ,  $\|f\|$ :

$$\|f\|^2 := (f, f) = \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) \overline{f(x)} dx = \int |f|^2.$$

Note that  $\|f\| \geq 0$ , and  $> 0$  unless  $f = 0$  (almost) everywhere.

*Note.* If  $f$  is continuous,  $\|f\| = 0$  implies  $f \equiv 0$ . For general  $f$ , it implies that  $f = 0$  ‘almost everywhere’ – at ‘most’ points. To make this precise needs Measure Theory and the Lebesgue Integral, which is (way) beyond our scope, so we do not pursue this.

Also

$$(af + bg, h) = a(f, h) + b(g, h)$$

(here of course  $f, g, h$  are functions and  $a, b$  are constants) –  $(., .)$  is *linear* in its first argument. Similarly,

$$(h, af + bg) = \overline{a}(h, f) + \overline{b}(h, g)$$

–  $(., .)$  is *antilinear* in its second argument.

*Note.* One needs complex values for many applications, e.g. Quantum Mechanics. If everything is real, we do not need complex conjugates, and then antilinear is the same as linear.