livsoln7.tex

SOLUTIONS 7. 17.3.2014

Q1. Vega for calls. With $\phi(x) := e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}/\sqrt{2\pi}$, $\Phi(x) := \int_{-\infty}^x \phi(u) du$ the standard normal density and distribution functions, $\tau := T - t$ the time to expiry, the Black-Scholes call price is

$$C_t := S_t \Phi(d_1) - K e^{-r(T-t)} \Phi(d_2),$$
 (BS)

$$d_1:=\frac{\log(S/K)+(r+\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)\tau}{\sigma\sqrt{\tau}}, \qquad d_2:=\frac{\log(S/K)+(r-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)\tau}{\sigma\sqrt{\tau}}=d_1-\sigma\sqrt{\tau}.$$

So

$$\phi(d_2) = \phi(d_1 - \sigma\sqrt{\tau}) = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(d_1 - \sigma\sqrt{\tau})^2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}d_1^2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot e^{d_1\sigma\sqrt{\tau}} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\tau} :$$

$$\phi(d_2) = \phi(d_1) \cdot e^{d_1\sigma\sqrt{\tau}} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\tau} .$$

Exponentiating the definition of d_1 ,

$$e^{d_1\sigma\sqrt{\tau}} = (S/K).e^{r\tau}.e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\tau}.$$

Combining,

$$\phi(d_2) = \phi(d_1).(S/K).e^{r\tau}: Ke^{-r\tau}\phi(d_2) = S\phi(d_1).$$
 (*)

Differentiating (BS) partially w.r.t. σ gives

$$v := \partial C/\partial \sigma = S\phi(d_1)\partial d_1/\partial \sigma - Ke^{-r\tau}\phi(d_2)\partial d_2/\partial \sigma.$$

So by (*),

$$v := \partial C/\partial \sigma = S\phi(d_1)\partial(d_1 - d_2)/\partial \sigma = S\phi(d_1)\partial \sigma\sqrt{\tau}/\partial \sigma = S\phi(d_1)\sqrt{\tau} > 0.$$

Vega for puts.

The same argument gives $v := \partial P/\partial \sigma > 0$, starting with the Black-Scholes formula for puts. Equivalently, we can use put-call parity

$$S+P-C=Ke^{-r\tau}$$
:

$$\partial P/\partial \sigma = \partial C/\partial \sigma > 0.$$

Interpretation: "Options like volatility": the more uncertainty there is, i.e. the higher the volatility, the more the "insurance policy" of an option is worth.

Q2.(i) Delta for calls.

$$\Delta := \partial C/\partial S = \frac{\partial}{\partial S} [S\Phi(d_1) - Ke^{-r\tau}\Phi(d_2)]$$

$$= \Phi(d_1) + S\phi(d_1) \frac{\partial d_1}{\partial S} - Ke^{-r\tau}\phi(d_2) \frac{\partial d_2}{\partial S}$$

$$= \Phi(d_1) + S\phi(d_1) \frac{\partial (d_1 - d_2)}{\partial S},$$

by Q1 (*). Since $d_1 - d_2 = \sigma \sqrt{\tau}$ does not depend on S, this gives

$$\Delta = \Phi(d_1) \in (0,1).$$

Interpretation: the payoff $(S - K)_+$ is increasing in S, so the option price should be also – and it is: $\Delta > 0$.

Also, $\Delta < 1$: options are to insure against adverse price movements. This reflects that options are useful for this: if Δ were ≥ 1 , there would be no advantage in using options to hedge – we would just use a combination of cash and stock.

(ii) Delta for puts.

Now put-call parity

$$S + P - C = Ke^{-r\tau}$$

and (i) give

$$\partial P/\partial S = \partial C/\partial S - 1 \in (-1, 0).$$

Interpretation: now the payoff $(K - S)_+$ is decreasing in S, so the option price should be also – and it is. That $\Delta > -1$ reflects that options are useful for insuring against adverse price movements (as above): if Δ were ≤ -1 , we would just use a combination of cash and stock.

NHB