## pfsl13.tex Lecture 13. 7.11.2012

Definition. Two distribution functions F, G have the same type if

$$G(x) = F(a + bx)$$

for some a, b. Then if Y := (X - a)/b, and  $X \sim F$ , then  $Y \sim G$ .

Stable laws.

The possible limit laws obtainable from centred and scaled random walks are the *stable* laws, which form the subclass S of SD. To within type (so we can take a = 0), they have two parameters, the *index*  $\alpha \in (0, 2]$  and the *skewness parameter*  $\beta \in [-1, 1]$ . If  $\alpha = 2$ , the law is (standard) *normal*, and then  $\sigma = 1$ ,  $\nu = 0$ . If  $0 < \alpha < 2$ , then  $\sigma = 0$  and, for some  $p \in [0, 1]$  and q := 1 - p (the usual notation for Bernoulli trials B(p)), the Lévy measure has the form

$$d\nu = p \ dx/x^{1+\alpha} \quad \text{on } (0,\infty), \qquad q \ dx/|x|^{1+\alpha} \quad \text{on } (-\infty,0),$$

while the skewness parameter ('tail-balance parameter') is

$$\beta = p - q \ (= 2p - 1)$$

(here p + q = 1, but this is a restriction of type, for convenience only – any value  $p + q \in (0, \infty)$  will do). The CFs are

$$\begin{split} \phi(t) &= \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}t^2\} \qquad (\text{normal case}, \alpha = 2); \\ \phi(t) &= \exp\{-|t|^{\alpha}(1-i\beta(sgn\;t)\tan\frac{1}{2}\pi\alpha)\} \quad (0 < \alpha < 1 \text{ or } 1 < \alpha < 2, -1 \le \beta \le 1); \\ \phi(t) &= \exp\{-|t|(1+i\beta(sgn\;t)\frac{2}{\pi}\log|t|\} \quad (\alpha = 1, -1 \le \beta \le 1). \end{split}$$

If  $\beta = 0$ , the law is symmetric (X and -X have the same distribution), and we obtain the symmetric stable laws with CFs

$$\phi(t) = \exp\{-|t|^{\alpha}\}$$
  $(0 < \alpha \le 2).$ 

Densities.

For  $\alpha = 2$ , we obtain the standard normal law, whose density  $e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}/\sqrt{2\pi}$ 

we know.

For  $\alpha = 1$ , we obtain the symmetric Cauchy law, whose density

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)}$$

we studied above.

For  $\beta = +1$ ,  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ , we obtain the *Lévy density* (Problems),

For other parameter values, there is no explicit formula, but one can obtain series expansions.

One-sided stable laws.

The Lévy measure is also called the *spectral measure*. When  $\beta = +1$ , p = 1, q = 0, all its mass is on the *positive* half-line – the *spectrally positive* case: only *positive jumps*, and decrease takes place continuously, rather than by jumping. Similarly for the *spectrally negative* case  $\beta = -1$ , p = 0, q = 1: all mass on the *negative* half-line; only negative jumps.

## Stable laws and tails

It is a general property of id laws F that their tail behaviour is similar to that of their Lévy measures  $\nu$ . Stable laws have finite *a*th moments for  $a < \alpha$  and infinite *a*th moment for  $a > \alpha$ . Thus for  $\alpha = 2$  (normal case) all moments are finite and the CF is entire; for  $1 < \alpha < 2$  the mean exists but the variance does not; for  $0 < \alpha < 1$  the mean does not exist. Such behaviour is described as having *heavy tails*. These are important, in at least two areas:

1. *Insurance*. It is the *large claims* that are dangerous for an insurance company – indeed, potentially lethal. The frequency of large claims is governed by the tail decay.

2. Finance. The standard benchmark model of mathematical finance, the Black-Scholes(-Merton) model has normal (actually, log-normal) tails. But most real financial data show much fatter tail behaviour than this. Stable laws have been used to model tails of financial data. So too have Student t-distributions (which, unlike stable laws, are not restricted to  $\alpha \leq 2$ ).

## IV. NORMAL DISTRIBUTION THEORY

## 1. Regression

In regression (see e.g. [BF]), we have data  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ , arranged as a column *n*-vector *y*. We seek to explain the data parsimoniously, in terms of *p* parameters  $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_p$  (arranged as a column *p*-vector  $\beta$ ), via linear combinations of explanatory variables (predictor variables, covariates, regressors, ...), plus some error, which we model as an *n*-vector  $\epsilon$ , whose components  $\epsilon_i$ are assumed iid  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ . Then the model equation is

$$y = A\beta + \epsilon. \tag{ME}$$

Here the matrix A is  $n \times p$ ;  $p \ll n$  ("p is much less than n") – n is the sample size (the larger the better), p the number of parameters (as small as possible, by the Principle of Parsimony); A is called the *design matrix*. We restrict attention to the case when A has *full rank*, p (otherwise, eliminate superfluous regressors to reduce to this). From the model equation

$$y_i = \sum_{j=1}^p a_{ij}\beta_j, \quad \epsilon_i \quad iid \quad N(0,\sigma^2),$$

the likelihood is

$$L = \frac{1}{\sigma^n 2\pi^{\frac{1}{2}n}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}(y_i - \sum_{j=1}^p a_{ij}\beta_j)^2 / \sigma^2\}$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{\sigma^n 2\pi^{\frac{1}{2}n}} \cdot \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \sum_{j=1}^p a_{ij}\beta_j)^2 / \sigma^2\},$ 

and the log-likelihood is

$$\ell := \log L = const - n \log \sigma - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \sum_{j=1}^{p} a_{ij} \beta_j)^2 \right] / \sigma^2.$$

As before, we use Fisher's Method of Maximum Likelihood, and maximise with respect to  $\beta_r$ :  $\partial \ell / \partial \beta_r = 0$  gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ir} (y_i - \sum_{j=1}^{p} a_{ij} \beta_j) = 0 \qquad (r = 1, \dots, p),$$
$$\sum_{j=1}^{p} (\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ir} a_{ij}) \beta_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ir} y_i.$$

or

Write  $C = (c_{ij})$  for the  $p \times p$  matrix

$$C := A^T A,$$

(called the *information matrix*), which we note is symmetric:  $C^T = C$ . Then

$$c_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (A^T)_{ik} A_{kj} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ki} a_{kj}.$$

So this says

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p} c_{rj} \beta_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ir} y_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (A^T)_{ri} y_i.$$

In matrix notation, this is

$$(C\beta)_r = (A^T y)_r \qquad (r = 1, \dots, p),$$

or combining,

$$C\beta = A^T y, \qquad C := A^T A. \tag{NE}$$

These are the normal equations.

As A has full rank, C is positive definite  $(x^T C x > 0 \text{ for all vectors } x \neq 0)$ ([BF], Lemma 3.3), so we can solve the normal equations to obtain our least-squares estimates of  $\beta$ , namely

$$\hat{\beta} = C^{-1} A^T y.$$

Write

$$P := AC^{-1}A^T$$

for the *projection matrix* of A. Note that

$$P^{2} = AC^{-1}A^{T}AC^{-1}A^{T} = AC^{-1}CC^{-1}A^{T} = AC^{-1}A^{T} = P,$$

so P is *idempotent*, i.e. is a *projection* (see e.g. [BF], Lemma 3.18). Also, as C is symmetric,

$$P^{T} = A(C^{-1})^{T}A^{T} = A(C^{T})^{-1}A = AC^{-1}A^{T} = P$$
:

P is symmetric, so is a symmetric projection. Similarly, so is I - P.

Call a linear transformation  $P: V \to V$  a projection onto  $V_1$  along  $V_2$  if V is the direct sum  $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ , and if  $x = (x_1, x_2)^T$  with  $Px = x_1$ . Then (check) I - P is a projection onto  $V_2$  along  $V_1$ . Also

$$P(I - P) = P - P^2 = P - P = 0$$
:

P, I - P are orthogonal projections.