

pfsl24.tex

Lecture 24. 4.12.2012

Proof. For $n = 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{ij}^{(2)} &= P(i \rightarrow j \text{ in 2 steps}) \\ &= \sum_k P(i \rightarrow k \rightarrow j) \\ &= \sum_k P(i \rightarrow k \text{ on first step}).P(k \rightarrow j \text{ on second step} | i \rightarrow k \text{ on first step}) \\ &= \sum_k P(i \rightarrow k).P(k \rightarrow j), \end{aligned}$$

using the Markov property in the second term. This says that

$$p_{ij}^{(2)} = \sum_k p_{ik} p_{kj},$$

the (i, j) element of the second matrix power P^2 .

For the general case we can use induction on the power n . Alternatively, we can argue as follows. The probability of going from i to j in n steps is, summing over all possible paths from i to j in n steps,

$$\begin{aligned} p_{ij}^{(n)} &= \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}} P(i \rightarrow k_1).P(k_1 \rightarrow k_2 | i \rightarrow k_1).P(k_2 \rightarrow k_3 | i \rightarrow k_1 \rightarrow k_2) \\ &\quad \dots P(k_{n-1} \rightarrow j | i \rightarrow k_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow k_{n-1}), \end{aligned}$$

by iterated conditional expectation. Using the Markov property, the RHS simplifies to

$$p_{ij}^{(n)} = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}} P(i \rightarrow k_1).P(k_1 \rightarrow k_2).P(k_2 \rightarrow k_3) \dots P(k_{n-1} \rightarrow j).$$

The LHS is the (i, j) element of $P^{(n)}$, while the RHS is the (i, j) element of the n th matrix power P^n of P . Since this holds for all i and j , the two matrices are equal, as required. //

This result is vital. It shows one of the great advantages of Markov chain theory – that it is perfectly adapted to the theory of matrices and Linear Algebra, which is very well developed.

Note. The result is named after Sydney CHAPMAN (1888-1970), an English applied mathematician (paper of 1928) and Kolmogorov (paper of 1931).

Initial distribution. Suppose that the position at time $t = 0$ is random, with

$$p_i := P(X_0 = i).$$

Form the *row-vector*

$$p := (p_0, p_1, \dots).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_n = j) &= \sum_i P(X_n = j \& X_0 = i) \\ &= \sum_i P(X_0 = i) P(X_n = j | X_0 = i) \\ &= \sum_i p_i p_{ij}^{(n)} \\ &= (pP^{(n)})_j. \end{aligned}$$

That is, the *row-vector* $pP^{(n)} = pP^n$ gives the distribution of the chain at time n .

Note. 1. Because it is natural to specify where we are at one time (at i with probability p_i), and then where we go to next (go from i to j with probability p_{ij}), it is *row-vectors*, rather than column-vectors, that are more useful in Markov chain theory.

This is worth bearing in mind, as in Linear Algebra the convention is often adopted that vectors are *column-vectors* (by default – i.e., unless otherwise specified), in which case one needs to use a transpose sign (A^T denotes the transpose of a matrix A) to obtain a row-vector. This is actually unnecessary here: vectors, row or column, are special cases of matrices, and it is better not to clutter things up with unnecessary transpose signs.

2. Precisely for this reason, one sometimes sees p_{ji} used for what we call p_{ij} , as in e.g. [M], Ch. 3: Markov processes.

Beware of this if using this otherwise excellent book!

Stationary distribution.

Suppose that the initial distribution π satisfies the linear equations

$$\pi P = \pi. \tag{SD}$$

Then by above, its distribution after one step is $\pi P = \pi$. Similarly, its distribution after n steps is

$$\pi P^{(n)} = \pi P^n = \pi P.P^{n-1} = \pi P^{n-1} = \pi P^{n-2} = \dots = \pi P = \pi :$$

the distribution stays the same for all time. Such a distribution is called *stationary*, or *invariant*, or an *equilibrium distribution*. We shall return to such distributions later, when we shall see that they are (under broad conditions)

limiting distributions, to which the chain settles down as time passes.

Observe that the linear equations (SD) are homogeneous: if π is a solution, then so is $c\pi$ for any scalar c . We are only interested in solutions $\pi = (\pi_j)$ which are probability distributions, i.e. $\pi_j \geq 0$, $\sum_j \pi_j = 1$. There may well be solutions but *not* solutions of this type; we shall meet examples of this below.

Examples.

1. *Two states.* This is the simplest possible case:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \alpha & \alpha \\ \beta & 1 - \beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

There are two common interpretations:

(i) Motion on the line with constant speed,

$\alpha = P(\text{change direction to left}|\text{going right}), \quad \beta = P(\text{change direction to right}|\text{going left}).$

(ii) Rainfall. This chain has been used to model rainfall data, with days in Tel Aviv being classified as dry (if no rain falls) and wet otherwise. It gives a reasonable fit to the Tel Aviv rainfall data. For details, see [CM], 3.2.

2. *Gambler's ruin: Random walk with absorbing barriers on a finite set.* Here

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ q & 0 & p & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q & 0 & p & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & q & 0 & p \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Random walk is given by an infinite matrix on the integers, with the tri-diagonal structure above (0 diagonal, p in the super-diagonal, q in the sub-diagonal throughout).

3. *Gambling for fun: Random walk with reflecting barriers on a finite set.* If our gamblers are playing for fun rather than for money, they may decide that to avoid the game stopping when a player is ruined, his last stake is

returned to him so that he can continue playing. The matrix is replaced by

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} q & p & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ q & 0 & p & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q & 0 & p & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & q & 0 & p \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & q & p \end{pmatrix}.$$

4. *Cyclic random walk.* Suppose the states represent positions on a circle:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} q_0 & q_1 & \dots & \dots & q_{a-1} \\ q_{a-1} & q_0 & \dots & \dots & q_{a-2} \\ \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ q_1 & q_2 & \dots & q_{a-1} & q_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

5. *Ehrenfest model of diffusion: Ehrenfest urn.* Suppose that N balls are distributed between two urns. At each stage, a ball is chosen at random (each with probability $1/N$) and changed to the *other* urn. The state is the number of balls in Urn 1. Then

$$p_{i,i-1} = i/N, \quad p_{i,i+1} = 1 - i/N, \quad p_{i,j} = 0 \quad \text{otherwise}$$

(the first represents the chance that a ball in Urn 1 is chosen, and changed to Urn 2, the second that a ball in Urn 2 is chosen, with the complementary probability, and changed to Urn 1). The matrix is again tri-diagonal:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/N & 0 & 1 - 1/N & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2/N & 0 & 1 - 2/N & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 1 - 1/N & 0 & 1/N \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The motivation for this model is Statistical Mechanics (Paul EHRENFEST (1880-1933) and Tatyana Ehrenfest, in 1907, published in 1911). The balls represent molecules of a gas (so for a physically observable system, will be present in enormous numbers – recall *Avogadro's number*, c. 6.02×10^{23} , is the number of gas molecules per standard volume under standard conditions).