

## SOLUTIONS 6 22.11.2012

Q1. (i)  $EM(t) = 1$ , as  $N(0, 1)$  has MGF  $e^{\frac{1}{2}s^2}$  and  $N(0, t) =_d \sqrt{t}.N(0, 1)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} E[M_t | \mathcal{F}_u] &= E[\exp\{s[B_u + (B_t - B_u)] - \tfrac{1}{2}ts^2\} | \mathcal{F}_u] \\ &= \exp\{sB_u - \tfrac{1}{2}us^2\} \cdot E[\exp\{s(B_t - B_u) - \tfrac{1}{2}(t - u)s^2\} | \mathcal{F}_u], \end{aligned}$$

taking out what is known. The first term on RHS is  $M_u$ . Using the Strong Markov Property for BM to start afresh at time  $u$ , the second is  $E[M_{t-u}]$ , which is 1 by above. So  $M$  is a mg.

(ii) The stopping time  $T_n$  is bounded, so Doob's Stopping Time Principle gives  $E[M(T_n)] = 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= E \exp\{sB(T_n) - T_n \cdot \tfrac{1}{2}s^2\} \\ &= E[\exp\{sB(n) - n \cdot \tfrac{1}{2}s^2\} I(\tau > n)] + E[\exp\{sB(\tau_t) - \tau_t \cdot \tfrac{1}{2}s^2\} I(\tau \leq n)]. \end{aligned}$$

On  $\tau > n$ ,  $B(n) < t$ , so the first term on RHS is at most

$$\exp\{st - n \cdot \tfrac{1}{2}s^2\} \cdot P(\tau > n) \leq e^{st} \cdot P(\tau > n) \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $1 = E[\exp\{st - \tau_t \cdot \tfrac{1}{2}s^2\} \cdot I(\tau_t < \infty)]$ . But  $\tau_t < \infty$  a.s. (otherwise  $B_u$  would lie below  $t$  for all  $u$ , so would have support bounded above; but each  $B_u$  is normal, so has support the whole line). So

$$1 = E[\exp\{st - \tau_t \cdot \tfrac{1}{2}s^2\}] : \quad E[\exp\{-\tau_t \cdot \tfrac{1}{2}s^2\}] = e^{-st}, \quad E[\exp\{-s\tau_t\}] = e^{-t\sqrt{2s}}.$$

(iii) The first-passage process  $\tau$  is non-decreasing, as it takes longer to reach a higher level. Using the Strong Markov Property at time  $\tau_t$  shows that the further time to first passage to level  $t + u$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_t$ , and so of  $\tau_t$ ; this says that the process  $\tau$  has independent increments. This further time has the same distribution as  $\tau_u$ , by the stationary-increments property of BM; so  $\tau$  has stationary increments. so  $\tau$  is a non-decreasing Lévy process, i.e. a subordinator.

(iv) By (iii),  $E \exp\{-s\tau_{ct}\} = \exp\{-t \cdot c\sqrt{2s}\} = \exp\{-t \cdot \sqrt{2sc^2}\} = E \exp\{-sc^2\tau_t\}$ .

Comparing,  $c^2 \tau_t =_d \tau_{ct}$ :  $\tau_t =_d \tau_{ct}/c^2$ .

Q2.

$$\phi(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \int_0^\infty \exp(-sx - \frac{1}{2x}) \cdot \frac{dx}{x^{3/2}}.$$

Differentiate under the integral sign (as we may, the integrand being monotone in  $s$  – we quote this):

$$\phi'(s) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \int_0^\infty \exp(-sx - \frac{1}{2x}) \cdot \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x}}.$$

The change of variable suggested interchanges the two terms in the exponential. It reverses the limits, and (check)

$$\frac{dx}{\sqrt{x}} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2s}} \cdot \frac{du}{u^{3/2}}.$$

This gives

$$\phi'(s) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2s}} \cdot \phi(s) : \quad \frac{\phi'(s)}{\phi(s)} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2s}}.$$

Integrate:  $\log \phi(s) = -\sqrt{2s} + c$ ,  $\phi(s) = ce^{-\sqrt{2s}}$ . But  $\phi(0) = \int f = 1$ , so  $c = 1$  and  $\phi(s) = e^{-\sqrt{2s}}$ . //

*Note.* This is *Lévy's density* – a rare case (with the normal and Cauchy) where one can find a stable density explicitly.

Q3. Adding independent random variables multiplies Laplace transforms (as with CFs – from the Multiplication Theorem), so  $X_1 + \dots + X_n$  has Laplace transform  $[\phi(s)]^n = e^{-n\sqrt{2s}}$ . Replacing  $s$  by  $s/n^2$ ,  $X_1 + \dots + X_n)/n^2$  has Laplace transform  $\phi(s) = e^{-\sqrt{2s}}$ , the Laplace transform of  $X$ . So  $(X_1 + \dots + X_n)/n^2$  has the same distribution as  $X$ , as required.

This does not contradict the SLLN, as  $X$  has infinite mean.

Q4.  $|\int_B f_n - \int_B f| = |\int_B (f_n - f)| \leq \int_B |f_n - f|$ . Taking sups over  $B$  proves the inequality. Next, with  $a \wedge b := \min(a, b)$ ,  $|f_n - f| = f_n + f - 2f_n \wedge f$  (check). Integrate:  $\int f_n = 1$ ,  $\int f = 1$  as these are densities. As  $0 \leq f_n \wedge f \leq f$ , integrable, dominated convergence gives  $\int f_n \wedge f \rightarrow \int f = 1$ . So the integral of RHS  $\rightarrow 1+1-2 = 0$ . So the integral of LHS  $\rightarrow 0$  also. // NHB