

**VIII. EXTREME-VALUE THEORY**

Usually in Statistics it is the *typical* reading in a sample that is of interest. Sometimes, however, it is the *largest*, or the *smallest*. For example:  
 the speed of a convoy is the speed of its slowest ship;  
 the strength of a chain is the strength of its weakest link;  
 it is the strongest gust of wind that blows the roof off a building;  
 it is the biggest claims that pose the greatest threat to the solvency of an insurance company, etc.

The area of Statistics relevant here is called *extreme-value theory* (EVT).

We shall focus on the *sample maximum*

$$M_n := \max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$$

of a (usually large) sample of size  $n$ , with  $X_i$  iid (for the minimum, work with  $-X_i$ ).

The distribution function of  $M_n$  is the  $n$ th power  $F^n$ , as

$$P(M_n \leq x) = P(X_1 \leq x, \dots, X_n \leq x) = P(X_1 \leq x) \dots P(X_n \leq x) = F(x)^n,$$

by independence.

This is reminiscent of the theory of *stable* distributions (III.4), where we worked instead with *sums*  $S_n := X_1 + \dots + X_n$ . There, we took CFs, where the CF of the sum is the  $n$ th power  $\phi^n$  of the CF  $\phi$  of the  $X_i$ . Because of this, the mathematics here is similar but simpler. We obtain, as with stability, a *parametric* description of the possible limit laws of  $(M_n - a_n)/b_n$ , after suitable centring and scaling. As with stability, we work to within type; we now obtain a *one-parameter* family of limit laws, rather than a *two-parameter* family as with stability – the *extremal* or *extreme-value* laws. The result is due to Fisher and Tippett (1928) (L. H. C. TIPPETT (1902-1985)) and B. V. GNEDENKO (1912-1995) in 1943.

**Theorem (Fisher-Tippett theorem)**, 1928. To within type, the extremal laws are exactly the following:

$$\Phi_\alpha, \quad (\alpha > 0); \quad \Psi_\alpha, \quad (\alpha > 0); \quad \Lambda,$$

where

$$\Phi_\alpha := 0 \quad (x \leq 0), \quad \exp\{-x^{-\alpha}\} \quad (x \geq 0);$$

$$\Psi_\alpha := \exp\{-(-x)^\sigma\} \quad (x \leq 0), \quad 1 \quad (x \geq 0);$$

$$\Lambda(x) := \exp\{-e^{-x}\}.$$

These are known since as the Fréchet (heavy-tailed,  $\Phi_\alpha$ ), Gumbel (light-tailed,  $\Lambda$ ) and Weibull (bounded tail,  $\Psi_\alpha$ ), after Maurice FRÉCHET (1878-1973), French mathematician, in 1937, Emil Julius GUMBEL (1891-1966), German statistician, in 1935 and 1958, and Waloddi WEIBULL (1887-1979), Swedish engineer, in 1939 and 1951.

Particularly for statistical purposes, it is often better to combine these three into one parametric family, the *generalized extreme value (GEV)* laws. These have one extremal parameter  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and two type parameters  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  (location) and  $\sigma > 0$  (scale):

$$G(x) := \exp\left(-\left[1 + \alpha\left(\frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}\right)\right]^{-1/\alpha}\right).$$

Here  $\alpha > 0$  corresponds to the Fréchet  $\Phi_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = 0$  to the Gumbel  $\Lambda$  (using  $(1 + x/n)^n \rightarrow e^x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) and  $\alpha < 0$  to the Weibull  $\Psi_\alpha$ , and we restrict to the support of  $G$  in each case – the set where [...] above  $> 0$ . See Coles [Col] for a monograph treatment of the statistics of EVT.

As always, the bigger  $n$ , the better. But in EVT, there are two conflicting dangers. We are studying the extremes, and most readings are *not* extreme – so we exclude most readings. Exclude too many, and we have too little data left; exclude too few, and we bias things by including non-extremes. We can balance these two dangers by the *peaks over thresholds (POT)* method ([Col] Ch. 4). Here we select a high *threshold*  $u$ . Then the conditional distribution of  $X - u | X > u$  is approximately the *generalized Pareto distribution (GPD)*

$$H(x) := 1 - \left(1 + \frac{\alpha x}{\bar{\sigma}}\right)^{-1/\alpha}, \quad \bar{\sigma} := \sigma + \alpha(u - \mu) \quad (x > 0, (1 + \alpha x/\bar{\sigma})) > 0$$

(Vilfredo PARETO (1848-1923): distribution of income, 1909).

Which  $F$  lead to which extremal law or GEV (the set of such  $F$  is called the *domain of attraction* of the limit law) can be answered. It is analogous to the corresponding domain-of-attraction problem for stable laws (III.4). Both involve *regular variation*, an important topic that we must omit here.

Point-process methods (as in  $Ppp(\lambda)$ , VI.2) are important in POT; see [Col] Ch. 7. The theory also extends to many (including infinitely many) dimensions. For these, other extensions, and applications to insurance, finance etc., see e.g. [Col].

NHB