

*Martingale convergence*

One reason why martingales (mgs) are so useful is that they have good convergence properties – under suitable conditions. We state some of the key results, without proof; for details, see e.g. SP, L18-19.

Call  $X = (X_n)$   *$L_1$ -bounded* if  $\sup_n E[|X_n|] < \infty$ , i.e.

$$E[|X_n|] \leq K \quad \text{for all } n,$$

for some constant  $K$ .

**Doob's (Sub-)Martingale Convergence Theorem.** An  $L_1$ -bounded (sub)martingale is a.s. convergent.

The proof depends on Doob's Upcrossing Inequality (see e.g. SP L18).

*Uniform integrability (UI).* Call  $X_n$  *uniformly integrable* (UI) if

$$\sup_n \int_{\{|X_n| > a\}} |X_n| dP \rightarrow 0 \quad (a \rightarrow \infty).$$

If the index set  $\{1, 2, \dots\}$  of the filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_n)$  extends to  $\{1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$  so that  $\{X_n : n = 1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$  is a (sub-)mg w.r.t. this filtration, the (sub-)mg is called *closed*, with *closing* (or *last*) element  $X_\infty$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $(X_n)$  be a UI submg. Then  $\sup_n E[X_n^+] < \infty$ , and  $X_n$  converges to a limit  $X_\infty$  a.s. and in  $L_1$ , which closes the submg:  $X = (X_n)$  is a closed submg, closed by  $X_\infty$ .

**Theorem.**  $X_n$  is a UI mg iff  $X_n$  is a closed mg iff there exists  $Y \in L_1$  with

$$X_n = E[Y | \mathcal{F}_n].$$

Then  $X_n \rightarrow E[Y | \mathcal{F}_\infty]$  a.s. and in  $L_1$ .

**Corollary (UI Mg Convergence Theorem).** For a mg  $X = (X_n)$ , the following are equivalent:

(i)  $X$  is UI;

- (ii)  $X$  converges a.s. and in  $L_1$  (to  $X_\infty$ , say);
- (iii)  $X$  is closed by a random variable  $Y$ :  $X_n = E[Y|\mathcal{F}_n]$ ;
- (iv)  $X$  is closed by its limit  $X_\infty$ :  $X_n = E[X_\infty|\mathcal{F}_n]$ .

*Note.* 1. The UI mgs – equivalently by above the closed mgs – (also called *regular* mgs) are the ‘nice’ mgs. Note that all the randomness is in the closing rv  $Y = X_\infty$ . As time progresses, more of  $Y$  is revealed as more information becomes available. (Think of progressive revelation, as in – choose your metaphor – a ‘striptease’, or, ‘the Day of Judgement’.)

2. UI (or closed) mgs are also common, and crucially important in Mathematical Finance. There, one does two things: (i) *discount* all asset prices (so as to work with real rather than nominal prices); (ii) change from the real-world probability measure  $P$  to an equivalent martingale measure  $Q$  (EMM, or *risk-neutral measure*) under which discounted asset prices  $\tilde{S}_t$  become ( $Q$ )-mgs:

$$\tilde{S}_t = E_Q[\tilde{S}_T|\mathcal{F}_t]$$

( $T < \infty$  is e.g. the expiry time of an option). See e.g. [BK], esp. Ch. 4.

Matters are simpler in the  $L_p$  case for  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . Call  $X = (X_n)$   $L_p$ -bounded if

$$\sup_n \|X_n\|_p < \infty$$

(so in particular each  $X_n \in L_p$ ). We may take  $p = 2$  for simplicity, and because of the link with Hilbert-space methods and the important *Kunita-Watanabe Inequalities*. We quote (for proof see e.g. SP L19)

**Theorem ( $L_p$ -Mg Theorem).** If  $p > 1$ , an  $L_p$ -bounded mg  $X_n$  is UI, and converges to its limit  $X_\infty$  a.s. and in  $L_p$ .

### 3. Martingales in continuous time

A stochastic process  $X = (X(t))_{0 \leq t < \infty}$  is a *martingale* (mg) relative to  $(\{\mathcal{F}_t\}, P)$  if

- (i)  $X$  is adapted, and  $E[|X(t)|] < \infty$  for all  $t < \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $E[X(t)|\mathcal{F}_s] = X(s)$   $P$ - a.s. ( $0 \leq s \leq t$ ),

and similarly for submgs (with  $\leq$  above) and supermgs (with  $\geq$ ).

In continuous time there are regularization results, under which one can take  $X(t)$  RCLL in  $t$  (basically  $t \rightarrow EX(t)$  has to be right-continuous). Then the analogues of most results for discrete-time martingales hold true.