

**PROBABILITY FOR STATISTICS: RESIT EXAMINATION
SOLUTIONS 2014**

Q1. The *chi-square distribution* with n degrees of freedom, $\chi^2(n)$, is defined as the distribution of $X_1^2 + \dots + X_n^2$, where X_i are iid $N(0, 1)$.

(i) For X standard normal, the MGF of its square X^2 is

$$M(t) := \int e^{tx^2} \phi(x) dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{tx^2} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} dx.$$

We see that the integral converges only for $t < \frac{1}{2}$, when (normal integral) it is $1/\sqrt{(1-2t)}$: $M(t) = 1/\sqrt{(1-2t)}$ ($t < \frac{1}{2}$) for $X \sim N(0, 1)$. So by definition of $\chi^2(n)$, the MGF of a $\chi^2(n)$ is

$$M(t) = 1/(1-2t)^{\frac{1}{2}n} \quad (t < \frac{1}{2}) \quad \text{for } X \sim \chi^2(n). \quad [8]$$

Replacing t by it by analytic continuation, the characteristic function is

$$\phi(t) = 1/(1-2it)^{\frac{1}{2}n}.$$

(ii) First, the required density $f(\cdot)$ is a density, as $f \geq 0$ and $\int f = 1$:

$$\int f(x) dx = \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{2}n} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}n)} \cdot \int_0^\infty x^{\frac{1}{2}n-1} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}x) dx = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}n)} \cdot \int_0^\infty u^{\frac{1}{2}n-1} \exp(-u) du = 1$$

($u := \frac{1}{2}x$), by definition of the Gamma function. Its MGF is

$$M(t) = \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{2}n} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}n)} \cdot \int_0^\infty e^{tx} \cdot x^{\frac{1}{2}n-1} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}x) dx = \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{2}n} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}n)} \cdot \int_0^\infty x^{\frac{1}{2}n-1} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}x(1-2t)) dx.$$

Substitute $u := \frac{1}{2}x(1-2t)$ in the integral. One obtains

$$M(t) = (1-2t)^{-\frac{1}{2}n} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}n)} \cdot \int_0^\infty u^{\frac{1}{2}n-1} e^{-u} du = (1-2t)^{-\frac{1}{2}n}.$$

So it has the required MGF, so is the required density. // [9]

(iii) For $n = 1$, the mean is 1, because a $\chi^2(1)$ is the square of a standard normal, and a standard normal has mean 0 and variance 1. The variance is 2, because the fourth moment of a standard normal X is 3, and

$$\text{var}(X^2) = E[(X^2)^2] - [E(X^2)]^2 = 3 - 1 = 2.$$

For general n , the mean is n because means add. [4]

The variance is $2n$ because variances add over independent summands.

(Or, use (i), (ii).) [4]

[Seen – problems + Mock exam]

Q2 (*Weak Law of Large Numbers, WLLN*).

Random variables X_n converge in probability to X if

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \quad P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad [2]$$

They converge to X in distribution if

$$F_n(x) := P(X_n \leq x) \rightarrow F(x) := P(X \leq x) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

at all continuity points x of F . [2]

(a) Convergence in probability implies convergence in distribution, but not conversely in general. [1]

(b) The converse holds (so the two are equivalent) if the limit X is constant. [1]

We need the following properties of the characteristic function (CF):

(i) (Lévy's convergence theorem). Convergence in distribution of random variables is equivalent to convergence of CFs (uniformly on compacta). [2]

(ii). The CF of an independent sum is the product of the CFs. [2]

(iii). If the random variable has k moments (finite), the CF can be expanded as far as the t^k term with negligible error term $o(t^k)$ for small t . [2]

Recall that (for x real and $z_n \rightarrow z$ complex)

$$(1 + \frac{x}{n})^n \rightarrow e^x, \quad (1 + \frac{z_n}{n})^n \rightarrow e^z \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (*) \quad [2]$$

Theorem (Weak Law of Large Numbers, WLLN). [3]

If X_i are iid with mean μ ,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k \rightarrow \mu \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \quad \text{in probability.}$$

Proof. If the X_k have CF $\phi(t)$, then as the mean μ exists $\phi(t) = 1 + i\mu t + o(t)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, by (iii). So by (ii) $(X_1 + \dots + X_n)/n$ has CF

$$E \exp\{it(X_1 + \dots + X_n)/n\} = [\phi(t/n)]^n = [1 + \frac{i\mu t}{n} + o(1/n)]^n,$$

for fixed t and $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (*), the RHS has limit $e^{i\mu t}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. But $e^{i\mu t}$ is the CF of the constant μ . So by Lévy's continuity theorem (i),

$$(X_1 + \dots + X_n)/n \rightarrow \mu \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \quad \text{in distribution.}$$

Since the limit μ is constant, this and (b) give

$$(X_1 + \dots + X_n)/n \rightarrow \mu \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \quad \text{in probability.} \quad // \quad [8]$$

[Seen – lectures + Exam 2014].

Q3 (*Compound Poisson processes*).

Let jumps $\{X_1, \dots, X_n, \dots\}$ arrive at the epochs of a Poisson process with rate λ [claims, in the insurance context used in lectures – call them claims below, for definiteness]. Then the number $N(t)$ of claims in the time-interval $[0, t]$ is Poisson $P(\lambda t)$. If the claims are iid with mean μ and CF $\phi(u)$, then the claim total at time t is $S(t) := X_1 + \dots + X_{N(t)}$, with CF

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(u) &:= E[\exp\{iuS(t)\}] = E[\exp\{iu(X_1 + \dots + X_{N(t)})\}] \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E[\exp\{iu(X_1 + \dots + X_{N(t)})\} | N(t) = n] P(N(t) = n) \\ &= \sum E[\exp\{iu(X_1 + \dots + X_n)\}] \cdot e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^n / n! = e^{-\lambda t} \exp\{\lambda t \phi(u)\}. \quad [10]\end{aligned}$$

From

$$\phi(u) := E[e^{iuX}], \quad \phi'(u) = iE[Xe^{iuX}], \quad \phi''(u) = -E[X^2e^{iuX}],$$

so

$$\phi'(0) = iE[X] = i\mu,$$

say,

$$\phi''(0) = -E[X^2],$$

and similarly

$$\psi'(0) = iE[S(t)], \quad \psi''(0) = -E[S(t)^2]. \quad [3]$$

So differentiating,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi'(u) &= \phi'(u) \cdot \lambda t \cdot \psi(u); & \psi'(0) &= \lambda t \cdot \phi'(0); \\ \psi''(u) &= \lambda t \cdot \phi''(u) \cdot \psi(u) + \lambda t \cdot \phi'(u) \cdot \psi'(u) \\ &= \lambda t \phi''(u) \psi(u) + (\lambda t)^2 [\phi'(u)]^2 \psi(u) : \\ \psi''(0) &= \lambda t \phi''(0) + (\lambda t)^2 [\phi'(0)]^2. \quad [3]\end{aligned}$$

Combining,

$$E[S(t)] = \lambda t E[X] = \lambda t \mu; \quad [4]$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{var}[S(t)] &= -\psi''(0) = [\psi'(0)]^2 \\ &= -\lambda t \phi''(0) + (\lambda t)^2 \mu^2 - (\lambda t)^2 \mu^2 \\ &= \lambda t E[X^2]. \quad [5]\end{aligned}$$

[Seen – problems + Exam 2014]

Q4 (*Finite Markov chains*).

A state i in a Markov chain is *transient* if the chain spends only finitely long in i (a.s.), *recurrent* (or *persistent*) otherwise. [1,1]

The *mean recurrence time* of a state i is the expectation of the time T_i of first return to i , starting at i . [1]

A recurrent state (one to which the chain returns infinitely often (i.o.), a.s.) is *positive* if the mean recurrence time is finite, *null* otherwise. [1,1]

Theorem. For a finite Markov chain, it is impossible for all states to be transient: a finite chain must contain at least one persistent state.

Proof. If the state-space is $\{1, \dots, N\}$, for each i and each n

$$1 = \sum_{j=1}^N p_{ij}(n). \quad (a)$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$: if j is transient, the total expected time in it is finite: $\sum_n p_{ij}(n) < \infty$. So

$$p_{ij}(n) \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (b)$$

Were *all* states transient, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (a) and using (b) would give the contradiction $1 = 0$. So not all states in a finite chain can be transient. // [7]

Theorem. A recurrent state j in a finite chain is positive (= non-null).

Proof. If the finite chain has state-space $\{1, \dots, N\}$, assume there is a null state. Let C be the equivalence class containing it. Since C is closed, we can consider the subchain induced on C . Then

$$1 = \sum_{k \in C} p_{ik}(n) \quad (\text{finite sum}).$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$: each $p_{ik}(n) \rightarrow 0$, so RHS $\rightarrow 0$, giving $1 = 0$. This contradiction gives the non-existence of null states in a finite chain. // [7]

All states may be :

(i) transient. Trivial example: \mathbb{Z} , moving to the right at each step. [2]

(ii) positive recurrent. Trivial example: \mathbb{Z} , with each state a trap. [2]

(iii) null recurrent. Example: simple random walk on \mathbb{Z} . [2]

[Seen – lectures].

N. H. Bingham