ma414soln3.tex

## MA414 SOLUTIONS 3. 7.2.2011

Q1. We are using 'almost all' for numbers on the line; this means under Lebesgue measure (unless otherwise stated). We are talking about decimal expansions; this relates to the fractional part, which is in [0, 1]; Lebesgue measure on [0, 1] is the uniform distribution. One can check that the decimal expansion coefficients of  $X \sim U(0, 1)$  are independent, uniformly distributed on the set  $\{0, 1, \ldots, d - 1\}$ , and conversely that if we have  $e_1, \ldots, e_n, \ldots$ independent and uniform on this set, then

$$X := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n / d^n \sim U(0, 1).$$

Normality to base d then follows by the SLLN applied to the uniform distribution on  $\{0, 1, \ldots, d-1\}$ . The exceptional set is a null set for each d. The union of these countably many null sets for  $d = 1, 2, \ldots$  is also null, which gives almost all numbers normal to all bases simultaneously.

A similar argument applies to the  $d^k$  k-tuples for each d, and their k shifts. The union of the exceptional null sets over all d and k is still null, giving strong normality to all bases simultaneously, a.e.

Q2. As  $P(A_n) \ge c > 0$ ,  $P(A_n^c) \le 1 - c < 1$ . So for each n,

$$P(\bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k^c) \le P(A_n^c) \le 1 - c.$$

Now

$$A := \limsup A_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k, \qquad A^c = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k^c = \lim_n \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k^c$$

as the sets  $\bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k^c$  are increasing in n. So

$$P(A^c) = \lim_{n} P(\bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k^c) \le 1 - c: \qquad P(A) \ge c > 0.$$

Q3.  $P(A_n) = 1/n$ , and the harmonic series  $\sum 1/n$  diverges. But { $\limsup A_n$ } = { $A_n \ i.o.$ } =  $\emptyset$ , so has probability 0. This does not contradict the Second Borel-Cantelli Lemma as the  $A_n$  are not independent (indeed, they are heavily dependent).

Q4. The Poisson distribution  $P(\lambda)$  with parameter  $\lambda$  has mean  $\lambda$  and variance  $\lambda$ . Also, the sum of n independent  $P(\lambda)$  variables is  $P(n\lambda)$  (one can check these statements from the MGF of  $P(\lambda)$ ,  $M(t) = \exp\{-\lambda(1-e^t)\}$ ). Use the CLT with  $X_i$  Poisson P(1); then  $\mu = 1$ ,  $\sigma = 1$ ,  $S_n \sim P(n)$ . Take x = 0 in CLT:

$$P(S_n - n)/\sqrt{n} \le 0) \to \Phi(0) = 1/2.$$

This says that

$$P(S_n - n \le 0) = P(S_n \le n) = \sum_{k=0}^n e^{-n} n^k / k! \to 1/2,$$

which is the conclusion required on multiplying by  $e^n$ .

NHB