

### SOLUTIONS 4 (Week 6). 7.3.29018

Q1. *Rho.*

(i) *Rho for calls.*

With  $\phi(x) := e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}/\sqrt{2\pi}$ ,  $\Phi(x) := \int_{-\infty}^x \phi(u)du$ ,  $\tau := T - t$  the time to expiry, the Black-Scholes call price is, with  $d_1, d_2$  as given,

$$C_t := S_t \Phi(d_1) - K e^{-r(T-t)} \Phi(d_2). \quad (BS)$$

So as  $d_2 = d_1 - \sigma\sqrt{\tau}$ ,

$$\phi(d_2) = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(d_1 - \sigma\sqrt{\tau})^2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}d_1^2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot e^{d_1\sigma\sqrt{\tau}} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\tau} = \phi(d_1) \cdot e^{d_1\sigma\sqrt{\tau}} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\tau}.$$

Exponentiating the definition of  $d_1$ ,

$$e^{d_1\sigma\sqrt{\tau}} = (S/K) \cdot e^{r\tau} \cdot e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\tau}.$$

Combining,

$$\phi(d_2) = \phi(d_1) \cdot (S/K) \cdot e^{r\tau} : \quad K e^{-r\tau} \phi(d_2) = S \phi(d_1). \quad (*)$$

(ii) Differentiating  $(BS)$  partially w.r.t.  $r$  gives, by  $(*)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho := \partial C / \partial r &= S \phi(d_1) \partial d_1 / \partial r - K e^{-r\tau} \phi(d_2) \partial d_2 / \partial r + K \tau e^{-r\tau} \Phi(d_2) \\ &= S \phi(d_1) \partial (d_1 - d_2) / \partial r + K \tau e^{-r\tau} \Phi(d_2) \\ &= S \phi(d_1) \partial (\sigma\sqrt{\tau}) / \partial r + K \tau e^{-r\tau} \Phi(d_2) = K \tau e^{-r\tau} \Phi(d_2) : \end{aligned}$$

$$\rho > 0.$$

(iii) *Financial interpretation.*

As  $r$  increases, cash becomes more attractive compared to stock. So stock buyers have a 'buyer's market', favouring them. So for *calls* (options to buy),  $\rho > 0$ .

(iv) *Rho for puts.*

By put-call parity,  $S + P - C = K e^{-r\tau}$ :

$$\partial P / \partial r = \partial C / \partial r - K \tau e^{-r\tau} = -K \tau e^{-r\tau} [1 - \Phi(d_2)] = -K \tau e^{-r\tau} \Phi(-d_2) < 0.$$

(v) *Financial interpretation.*

As above: as  $r$  increases, stock *sellers* also operate in a buyer's market, but this is against them. So for *puts* (options to sell),  $\rho < 0$ .

(vi) *American options.*

All this extends to American options, via the *Snell envelope*, which is *order-preserving*. The discounted value of an American option is the Snell envelope  $\tilde{U}_{n-1} = \max(\tilde{Z}_{n-1}, E^*[\tilde{U}_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}])$  of the discounted payoff  $\tilde{Z}_n$  (exercised early at time  $n < N$ ), with terminal condition  $U_N = Z_N, \tilde{U}_N = \tilde{Z}_N$ . As  $r$  increases, the  $Z$ -terms increase for calls (rho is positive for European calls). As the  $Z$ s increase, the  $U$ s increase (above: backward induction on  $n$  – dynamic programming, as usual for American options). Combining: as  $r$  increases, the  $U$ -terms increase. So rho is also positive for American calls. Similarly, rho is negative for American puts. [Similar to ‘vega positive’, done in Problems]

NHB