

MRP-Proof

Using all of our results on orthogonal martingales we can consider the stable subspace of $M_{\mathbb{F}}^{(T)}$ generated by (W_t) . As we have seen this is the closed subspace given by all stochastic integrals (of suitable integrands) with respect to W . Let Y be a martingale in the stable subspace orthogonal to that generated by W .

Let $\sigma_n = \inf \{t : |Y_t| \geq n\}$ and set $Y_t^n = \frac{1}{2n} Y_{\sigma_n \wedge t}$. Then for each n , (Y_t^n)

is in the stable subspace strongly orthogonal to that generated by W , see Theorem. So, $|Y_t^n| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and (Y_t^n) is orthogonal to both $(W_t)^t$ and $2 \int_0^t W dW \equiv (2 \int_0^t W dW)$. Recalling that $Y_0 = 0$, and therefore $Y_0^n = 0$ too, we define

$$Q(E) = \int_E (1 + Y_T^n) dP$$

Note that since $|Y_t^n| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ then $1 + Y_T^n$ is a strictly positive random variable and Q is certainly a measure (Radon-Nikodym Theorem). Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\Omega) &= \int_{\Omega} (1 + Y_T^n) dP \\ &= 1 + \int_{\Omega} Y_T^n dP \end{aligned}$$

$$= 1 + \int_{\Omega} M^{\mathbb{P}}(Y_T^n) d\mathbb{P}$$

$$= 1 + 0$$

So \mathbb{Q} is a probability measure on \mathcal{F}_T .
Consider, first of all, the product

$$W_t(1 + Y_t^n) = W_t + W_t Y_t^n$$

this is the sum of two \mathbb{P} -martingales, (W_t) and $(W_t Y_t^n)$ (since Y is strongly orthogonal to W). Recall our characterisation of \mathbb{Q} -martingales in the section on changes of measure. This is telling us that (W_t) is a (continuous) \mathbb{Q} -martingale. A similar argument shows that $(W_t^2 - t)$ is a continuous \mathbb{Q} -martingale. Recall now our Corollary to Levy's characterisation: this is telling us that W is a \mathbb{Q} -Brownian Motion!!!. So, the \mathbb{Q} -distribution of W_t must agree with the \mathbb{P} -distribution of W_t , i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}\{W_t^{-1}(H)\} = \mathbb{Q}\{W_t^{-1}(H)\}$$

for every $t \in [0, T]$ and Borel set $H \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. So \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} agree on the generating sets for \mathcal{F}_T . It is a short argument to show they agree on $\mathcal{F}_T^{(*)}$ (H.C.-Extension). For any \mathcal{F}_T set, E , then,

$$\mathbb{P}(E) = \mathbb{Q}(E) = \mathbb{P}(E) + \int_E Y_T^n d\mathbb{P}$$

and $\int_E Y_T^n d\mathbb{P} = 0 \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{F}_T$. So $Y_T^n = 0$ in L^1 and \mathbb{P} -almost surely.

Now the sequence of stopping times, σ_n , increase to infinity (because $\bigcup Y_T$ is finite \mathbb{P} -a.s.) and

$$\lim_n 2n Y_T^n = Y_T \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

So $Y_T = 0$. In other words the closed subspace of $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{P})$ generated by

$$\left\{ \int_0^T f(s) dW_s : \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T |f|^2 \right) < \infty \right\}$$

is all of $\{X \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) : \mathbb{E}(X) = 0\}$.

(*) Let $S = \{E : \mathbb{P}(E) = Q(E)\}$

(i) $\Omega \in S$, since $W_t^{-1}(\mathbb{R}) = \Omega$

(ii) If $E \in S$, $\mathbb{P}(\Omega \setminus E) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(E) = 1 - Q(E)$
 $\Rightarrow \Omega \setminus E \in S$.

(iii) If $E_n \in S$ and $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$
then

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\bigcup_n E_n \right) = \sum_1^\infty \mathbb{P}(E_n) = \sum_1^\infty Q(E_n) = Q \left(\bigcup_n E_n \right)$$

so $\bigcup_n E_n \in S$ and S is closed under

monotone sequential limits and therefore under countable unions. So S is a σ -field containing the generators of \mathcal{F}_T and is itself inside of \mathcal{F} , so $S = \mathcal{F}_T$.

Remark I have not explicitly dealt with the completion or augmentation of the σ -field generated by W . Suffice to say that since \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} agree on the σ -field generated by W , the completion and augmentation with respect to either will be the same.