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#### Abstract

We study the set $G^{[k]}$ of $k^{\text {th }}$ powers in finite groups $G$. We prove that if $G^{[12]}$ is a subgroup then $G$ must be soluble; moreover, 12 is the minimal number with this property. The proof relies on results of independent interest, classifying almost simple groups $G$ and positive integers $k$ for which $G^{[k]}$ contains the socle of $G$.


## 1 Introduction

Powers in groups have been extensively studied in connection with the Burnside problems, powerful $p$-groups and $p$-adic analytic groups, and other areas. For a group $G$ and a positive integer $k$, denote by $G^{[k]}$ the set $\left\{x^{k}: x \in G\right\}$ of $k^{t h}$ powers in $G$. It is known [6] that if $G$ is a powerful $p$-group, then $G^{[p]}$ is a subgroup of $G$; Malcev[8] showed that if $G$ is finitely generated nilpotent, then $G^{[k]}$ always contains a subgroup of finite index in $G$; see also [3], where $G^{[k]}$ is studied for finitely generated linear groups.

In this paper we study the power subsets $G^{[k]}$ in finite groups in general, and in almost simple groups in particular. One of our main results is the following somewhat surprising solubility criterion.

Theorem 1 Let $G$ be a finite group, and suppose that $G^{[12]}$ is a subgroup of $G$. Then $G$ is soluble.

Some remarks about this result are in order. First, 12 is the minimal number with this property: we shall see below (Proposition 6) that for every $k<12$ there is an almost simple group $G$ such that $G^{[k]}=\operatorname{soc}(G)$, the socle of $G$. Secondly, the proof of the theorem shows that the same conclusion holds with 12 replaced by any integer $2^{a} 3^{b}$ with $a \geq 2, b \geq 1$, and there are other numbers which also work (see Section 5). Thirdly, the proof relies on the classification of finite simple groups, and requires a detailed study of power subsets in almost simple groups, which is of some independent interest (see Theorem 7 below). A further consequence of this is the following.

[^0]Theorem 2 Let $G$ be a finite group, and suppose that $G^{[3]}$ and $G^{[4]}$ are both subgroups of $G$. Then $G$ is soluble.

The next result concerns the set of squares in a finite group. Of course if $G^{[2]}=G$, then $G$ has odd order and hence is soluble by the Feit-Thompson theorem. It turns out that finite groups in which the set of squares is a subgroup need not be soluble; however, their non-abelian composition factors are rather restricted:

Theorem 3 Let $G$ be a finite group such that $G^{[2]}$ is a subgroup. Then the nonabelian composition factors of $G$ are among the groups $L_{2}(q)\left(q\right.$ odd), $L_{2}\left(q^{2}\right)(q$ even) and $L_{3}(4)$.

It is easy to see that if $G^{[k]}$ is a subgroup for all values of $k$, then $G$ must be nilpotent: indeed, if $p$ is a prime divisor of $|G|$ and $k$ is the $p^{\prime}$-part of $|G|$, then $G^{[k]}$ must be the unique Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$.

The next result connects general finite groups and non-abelian composition factors as far as power subsets are concerned.

Theorem 4 Let $G$ be a finite group and $k$ a positive integer such that $G^{[k]}$ is a subgroup of $G$. Then for every non-abelian composition factor $T$ of $G$, either $T \subseteq$ $\operatorname{Aut}(T)^{[k]}$ or the exponent of $T$ divides $k$. In particular, if $k$ is odd or has at most two prime divisors, then $T \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(T)^{[k]}$ for all non-abelian composition factors $T$.

We now discuss our results on almost simple groups - that is, groups whose socle is a non-abelian simple group. Clearly not all elements of a (non-abelian) simple group are squares. Somewhat surprisingly, it turns out that there are simple groups $T$ in which every element is a square in the automorphism group of $T$ :

Proposition 5 Let $T$ be one of the simple groups $L_{2}(q)$ ( $q$ odd), $L_{2}\left(q^{2}\right)$ ( $q$ even) or $L_{3}(4)$. Then every element of $T$ has a square root in $\operatorname{Aut}(T)$. Moreover, there is a group $G$ of the form $T .2$ such that $G^{[2]}=T$.

The group $G$ in the conclusion is, in the respective cases, $P G L_{2}(q)$ ( $q$ odd), $L_{2}\left(q^{2}\right)\langle\sigma\rangle\left(q\right.$ even, $\sigma$ a field automorphism of order 2), or $L_{3}(4)\langle\sigma\rangle(\sigma$ a graph-field automorphism). Other results on squares in finite simple groups and their proportion can be found in [7].

Our next result gives further examples for simple groups.
Proposition 6 (i) Let $k=p^{r}>2$ with $p$ prime, and let $T=L_{2}\left(p^{k l}\right)$ for some $l \geq 1$. Then every element of $T$ has a $k^{\text {th }}$ root in $\operatorname{Aut}(T)$. Moreover, if $G=T\langle\sigma\rangle$, where $\sigma$ is a field automorphism of order $k$, then $G^{[k]}=T$.
(ii) Let $k=2 p^{r}$ with $p$ an odd prime, and let $T=L_{2}\left(p^{k l / 2}\right)$ for some $l \geq 1$. Then every element of $T$ has a $k^{\text {th }}$ root in $\operatorname{Aut}(T)$. Moreover, if $G=P G L_{2}\left(p^{k l / 2}\right)\langle\sigma\rangle$, where $\sigma$ is a field automorphism of order $k / 2$, then $G^{[k]}=T$.

Our next theorem shows that there are no further examples of this phenomenon.
Theorem 7 Let $T$ be a finite simple group, and let $k>1$ be a positive integer dividing $|T|$. Suppose $\operatorname{Aut}(T)^{[k]}$ contains $T$. Then $k=p^{r}$ or $2 p^{r}$ for some prime $p$. Further, if $k=2$ then $T=L_{2}(q)$ or $L_{3}(4)$ is as in Proposition 5; and if $k=p^{r}>2$ or $k=2 p^{r}$ ( $p$ odd), then $T=L_{2}\left(p^{k l}\right)$ or $L_{2}\left(p^{k l / 2}\right)$ is as in Proposition 6.

Note that the assumption that $k$ divides $|T|$ can be made without loss of generality, since if $k=a b$ where $a$ divides $|T|$ and $(|T|, b)=1$, then $\operatorname{Aut}(T)^{[k]}$ contains $T$ if and only if $\operatorname{Aut}(T)^{[a]}$ contains $T$.

The next result is immediate from Theorem 7.

Corollary 8 (i) If $T$ is a finite simple group with $T \neq L_{2}(q), L_{3}(4)$, and $k$ is a positive integer such that $\operatorname{Aut}(T)^{[k]}$ contains $T$, then $k$ is coprime to $|T|$.
(ii) If $G$ is a finite almost simple group, then $G^{[p]}$ is a subgroup of $G$ for at most one odd prime $p$ dividing $|\operatorname{soc}(G)|$.

The layout of the paper is as follows. Section 2 is devoted to our examples of almost simple groups $G$ with the property that $G^{[k]}$ contains $\operatorname{soc}(G)$ given in Propositions 5 and 6. In Section 3 we show that these are the only such examples, thereby proving Theorem 7, and also deduce Corollary 8. Section 4 is devoted to general finite groups. We start it with the proof of Theorem 4, and use this to deduce Theorems 1, 2 and 3. Finally in Section 5 we investigate the set of numbers $k$ for which the assumption that $G^{[k]}$ is a subgroup implies that $G$ is soluble.

## 2 Almost simple groups: examples

First we prove Proposition 5. Let $T$ be one of the simple groups in the statement of the proposition. Elements of odd order in $T$ are squares, so we need only handle elements of even order.

First consider $T=L_{2}(q)$ with $q$ odd. Let $G=P G L_{2}(q)$. If $x \in T$ is an element of even order, then its order divides $\frac{1}{2}(q+\epsilon)$ for some $\epsilon \in\{ \pm 1\}$, and there is an element $y \in G$ of order $q+\epsilon$ such that $x \in\left\langle y^{2}\right\rangle$. Hence $G^{[2]}=T$.

Now let $T=L_{2}\left(q^{2}\right)$ with $q$ even, and $G=T\langle\sigma\rangle$ where $\sigma$ is an involutory field automorphism. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$, set

$$
u(\alpha)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \alpha \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

It is well known that every element of even order in $T$ is conjugate to $u(1)$. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}} \backslash \mathbb{F}_{q}$ we have $(u(\alpha) \sigma)^{2}=u\left(\alpha+\alpha^{\sigma}\right)$. It follows that $u(1)$, and hence all elements of even order, are squares in $G$, and so $G^{[2]}=T$.

Finally, for $T=L_{3}(4)$ and $G=T\langle\sigma\rangle$ with $\sigma$ a graph-field automorphism, the conclusion can be checked using [1]. This completes the proof of Proposition 5.

Now we prove Proposition 6. First consider part (i). Let $k=p^{r}, T=L_{2}\left(p^{k l}\right)$ and $G=T\langle\sigma\rangle$ as in the statement. Define $u(\alpha)$ as above, for $\alpha \in F:=\mathbb{F}_{p^{k l}}$. First assume $p$ is odd. Then every element in $T$ of order divisible by $p$ is conjugate to $u(1)$ or $u(\beta)$ with $\beta \in F$ non-square. We have $(u(\alpha) \sigma)^{k}=u(\operatorname{Tr}(\alpha))$, where $\operatorname{Tr}$ is the trace $\operatorname{map} F \mapsto F_{p^{l}}$. Since $T r$ is surjective, this shows that $u(1)$ and $u(\beta)$ are both $k^{t h}$ powers in $G$, as required. Finally, for $p=2$, every element of even order in $T$ is conjugate to $u(1)$, and the same proof applies.

Now consider part (ii). Let $k=2 p^{r}$ with $p$ an odd prime, and let $G=$ $P G L_{2}\left(p^{k l / 2}\right)\langle\sigma\rangle$ be as in the proposition. For $x \in T=\operatorname{soc}(G)$, Proposition 5 shows that $x=y^{2}$ for some $y \in P G L_{2}\left(p^{k l / 2}\right)$. If $y$ has order divisible by $p$, then $y$ is in
$T$ and has order $p$, and as in (i), there exists $z \in G$ such that $y=z^{p^{r}}$; the same holds trivially if $y$ has order coprime to $p$. Hence $x=z^{2 p^{r}}=z^{k}$, and the proof is complete.

## 3 Almost simple groups: Proof of Theorem 7

We begin with a preliminary result for general finite groups which will be used frequently in the proof.

Lemma 3.1 Let $G$ be a finite group with a normal subgroup $T$ such that $G / T$ is cyclic of order $k$. Write $G=T\langle\sigma\rangle$ where $\sigma^{k} \in T$. Suppose $y \in G^{[k]} \backslash T^{[k]}$. Then there exists $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ such that $y^{\sigma^{i}}$ is $T$-conjugate to $y$. In particular, if $k$ is prime then $y^{\sigma}$ is $T$-conjugate to $y$.

Proof. Write $y=x^{k}$. There exist $t \in T$ and $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ such that $x=t \sigma^{-i}$. Observe that

$$
y^{\sigma^{i}}=\left(\left(t \sigma^{-i}\right)^{k}\right)^{\sigma^{i}}=\left(\left(t \sigma^{-i}\right)^{k}\right)^{t}=y^{t} .
$$

The first assertion follows. For the second assertion, choose $j$ such that $\sigma^{i j} \equiv$ $\sigma \bmod T$, and observe that $y^{\sigma}$ is $T$-conjugate to $y^{\left(\sigma^{2}\right)^{j}}$, which is $T$-conjugate to $y$.

Now we embark on the proof of Theorem 7. Suppose $T$ is a finite simple group and $k>1$ is an integer dividing $|T|$ such that $\operatorname{Aut}(T)^{[k]}$ contains $T$.

Lemma 3.2 If $T$ is alternating or sporadic, then $T=A_{5}$ or $A_{6}$ and $k=2$.
Proof. Since $\operatorname{Out}(T)$ is 2 or $2^{2}$ for these groups, $k$ must be 2. Note that $A_{5} \cong L_{2}(5)$ and $A_{6} \cong L_{2}(9)$ appear in the conclusion by Theorem 5 . For $n \geq 7$, $T=A_{n}$ does not occur, since for example permutations of cycle shape $(4,2)$ are not squares in $S_{n}$. And for $T$ sporadic, one checks using the character tables in [1] that for those groups $T$ which possess outer automorphisms, there are elements in $T$ which have no square root in $\operatorname{Aut}(T)$.

Lemma 3.3 The conclusion of Theorem 7 holds if $T=L_{2}(q)$ or $L_{3}(4)$.
Proof. For $L_{3}(4)$ the result can be checked using [1]. So suppose that $T=L_{2}(q)$ and that $T \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(T)^{[k]}$ for some $k>1$ dividing $|T|$. Let $p$ be a prime dividing $k$, and let $p^{r}$ be the $p$-part of $k$.

Assume first that $p$ is odd and does not divide $q$. Then $p$ divides $q-\epsilon$ with $\epsilon= \pm 1$. Let $x \in T$ be an element of order $(q-\epsilon) /(2, q-\epsilon)$. Clearly $x \notin T^{[p]}$. Hence $x \in(T\langle\sigma\rangle)^{[p]}$ where $\sigma$ is a field automorphism of order $p$. By Lemma 3.1 this implies that $x$ is $T$-conjugate to $x^{\sigma}$. But this is a contradiction as the only elements of $\langle x\rangle$ which are $T$-conjugate to $x$ are $x^{ \pm 1}$.

If $p$ is odd and divides $q$, then since $T \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(T)^{[p]}$, there must be an element of order $p^{r}$ in $\operatorname{Out}(T)$, and hence $q=p^{p^{r} l}$ for some $l \geq 1$.

Now suppose $p=2$ and $p^{r}=2^{r} \geq 4$. If $q$ is odd then 4 divides $q-\epsilon$ with $\epsilon= \pm 1$ and we let $x$ be an element of order $(q-\epsilon) / 2$. Then $x \notin T^{[2]}$, and so $x \in(T\langle\sigma\rangle)^{[4]}$
where $\sigma$ induces an outer automorphism of order 4 ; but $x$ is not $T$-conjugate to $x^{\sigma}$ for such an automorphism, so this contradicts Lemma 3.1. If $q$ is even then $T$ has an outer automorphism of order $2^{r}$, so $q=2^{2^{r} l}$ for some $l$.

Next assume that $p=p^{r}=2$. Then either $q$ is odd, or $q$ is even and $T$ has an outer automorphism of order 2 so that $q=2^{2 l}$ for some $l$.

From the above, we conclude that one of the following holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k=p^{r}, q=p^{p^{r} l} \\
& k=2, q \text { odd } \\
& k=2 p^{r}, q=p^{p^{r} l}, p \text { odd }
\end{aligned}
$$

These are precisely the possibilities on the conclusion of Theorem 7.

We assume from now on that $T \neq L_{2}(q)$ or $L_{3}(4)$. Let $p$ be a prime divisor of $k$, so that $\operatorname{Aut}(T)^{[p]}$ contains $T$.

Lemma 3.4 The group $T$ is not $L_{n}(q)$.
Proof. Suppose $T=L_{n}(q)$. By assumption $n \geq 3$ and $(n, q) \neq(3,2),(3,4)$.
Assume first that $p \mid q-1$ and $p \geq 3$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ have order $q-1$ and define $x=\operatorname{diag}\left(a(\lambda, 1), \lambda^{-2}, 1, \ldots, 1\right) Z \in T$, where $Z$ is the group of scalars and

$$
a(\lambda, \beta)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda & \beta  \tag{1}\\
0 & \lambda
\end{array}\right) .
$$

For $q>4$, the centralizer of $x$ in $P G L_{n}(q)$ consists of elements of the form $\operatorname{diag}(a(\alpha, \beta), \gamma, A) Z$, and $x$ cannot be the $p^{\text {th }}$ power of one of these as $\lambda$ is not a $p^{t h}$ power in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$. Hence $x$ is not a $p^{t h}$ power in $P G L_{n}(q)$; a similar argument gives the same conclusion when $q=4$. It follows that $x$ must be a $p^{t h}$ power in a group $T\langle\sigma\rangle$, where $\sigma$ involves a field automorphism of order $p$ (i.e. $\sigma$ is a product of a (possibly trivial) diagonal automorphism and such a field automorphism). Then $x$ is $T$-conjugate to $x^{\sigma}$, by Lemma 3.1. But this is not the case, as can be seen by consideration of the eigenvalues of $x$ and $x^{\sigma}$.

Now assume that $p=2$ and $q$ is odd. Let $A \in G L_{2}(q)$ be an element of order $q^{2}-1$ with eigenvalues $\lambda, \lambda^{q}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$, and define $x=\operatorname{diag}\left(A, \lambda^{-q-1}, 1, \ldots, 1\right) Z \in T$. By considering the centralizer of $x$ as above, we see that it is not a square in $P G L_{n}(q)$. Therefore $x$ must be a square in a group $T\langle\sigma\rangle$ where $\sigma$ involves an involutory field, graph or graph-field automorphism of $T$. A graph automorphism inverts the eigenvalues of $x$, while an involutory field automorphism sends the eigenvalue , $\lambda^{-q-1}$ to , $\lambda^{-q q_{0}-q_{0}}$ where $q=q_{0}^{2}$. Hence we see that $x$ cannot be $T$-conjugate to $x^{\sigma}$, contradicting Lemma 3.1.

This deals with the case where $p \mid q-1$, so assume from now on that $p$ does not divide $q-1$. If $p>2$ the outer automorphisms of $T$ of order $p$ are field automorphisms, while if $p=2$ they are field, graph or graph-field automorphisms.

Assume $p>2$. If $p \mid q$, take $x=\operatorname{diag}\left(a(\lambda, 1), \lambda^{-2}, 1, \ldots, 1\right) Z \in T$ with $|\lambda|=q-1$ as before. Then $x$ is not a $p^{t h}$ power in $T$, and also is not conjugate to $x^{\sigma}$ if $\sigma$ is a field automorphism of order $p$. And if $p$ does not divide $q$, choose $e$ minimal such that $p \mid q^{e}-1$ and let

$$
x(\lambda)=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{q}, \ldots, \lambda^{q^{e-1}}\right) \in G L_{1}\left(q^{e}\right) \leq G L_{e}(q)
$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{e}}$. For $\lambda$ of order $\frac{q^{e}-1}{q-1}$, let $x=\operatorname{diag}\left(x(\lambda), I_{n-e}\right) Z \in T$. The we see as usual that $x$ is not a $p^{t h}$ power in $T$ and is not conjugate to $x^{\sigma}$ if $\sigma$ is a field automorphism of order $p$. This handles the case $p>2$.

Finally, let $p=2$. As $p$ does not divide $q-1$ by assumption, $q$ is even. If $q>4$ let $x=\operatorname{diag}\left(a(\lambda, 1), \lambda^{-2}, 1, \ldots, 1\right) Z \in T$ with $|\lambda|=q-1$ and argue as above. If $q=2$ or 4 and $n \geq 5$, let $x(\lambda) \in S L_{3}(q)$ be as above with $e=3$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{3}}$ of order $q^{2}+q+1$, and define $x=\operatorname{diag}\left(x(\lambda), J_{n-3}\right)$ where $J_{n-3}$ is a unipotent Jordan block of size $n-3$. Then $x$ is not a square in $T$ (as $J_{n-3}$ is not a square in $S L_{n-3}(q)$ ), and $x$ is not conjugate to $x^{\sigma}$ for $\sigma$ an involutory field, graph or graph-field automorphism of $T$.

This leaves the cases $T=L_{4}(2)$ and $L_{4}(4)$ (since $(n, q) \neq(3,2),(3,4)$ by assumption). The first of these is the alternating group $A_{8}$ which has already been handled. And $L_{4}(4)$ has an element $x$ of order 30 of the form $\operatorname{diag}(a(\lambda, 1), M)$ where $\lambda$ has order 3 and $M \in G L_{2}(4)$ has order 15 and determinant $\lambda$; we argue in the usual way that $x$ is not a square in $\operatorname{Aut}(T)$.

Lemma 3.5 $T$ is not $U_{n}(q)$.
Proof. Suppose $T=U_{n}(q)$. Then $n \geq 3$ and $(n, q) \neq(3,2)$.
The proof is quite similar to the previous lemma. Assume first that $p \mid q+1$ and $n \geq 4$. Let $x=\operatorname{diag}\left(a(\lambda, \beta), \lambda^{-2}, 1, \ldots, 1\right) Z \in T$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ of order $q+1$ and suitable $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ (where $a(\lambda, \beta)$ is as in (1) and matrices are taken relative to a basis with first three vectors $e, f, d$ where $e, f$ are singular, $(e, f)=1$ and $d$ is nonsingular and perpendicular to $e, f$ ). If $q>2$ we can argue as in the previous lemma that $x$ is not a $p^{\text {th }}$ power in $P G U_{n}(q)$ and is not conjugate to $x^{\sigma}$ for any further outer automorphism $\sigma$ of $T$ of order $p$. And if $q=2$ then $p=3$ and we take $x=\operatorname{diag}\left(a(\lambda, \beta), \lambda^{-1}, \lambda^{-1}, 1, \ldots, 1\right) Z \in T$ with $|\lambda|=3$ and argue similarly.

Now assume $p \mid q+1$ and $n=3$ (so $q>2$ ). Again take $x=\operatorname{diag}\left(a(\lambda, \beta), \lambda^{-2}\right) Z \in$ $T$, with $\lambda$ of order $q+1$. As usual, $x$ is not a $p^{\text {th }}$ power in $P G U_{3}(q)$, and is not conjugate to $x^{\sigma}$ for $\sigma$ a field automorphism unless $p=2$ and $q=5$. So it remains to handle $T=U_{3}(5)$ with $p=2$; this can be done using [1].

Next assume that $p \mid q$. If $q>2$, take $x=\operatorname{diag}\left(a(\lambda, \beta), \lambda^{-2}, 1, \ldots, 1\right) Z \in T$ with $\lambda$ of order $q+1$ again and argue as before. And in the case where $q=2$, take $x=$ $\operatorname{diag}\left(a(\lambda, \beta), \lambda^{-1}, \lambda^{-1}, 1, \ldots, 1\right) Z \in T$ with $|\lambda|=3$.

It remains to deal with the case where $p$ divides neither $q+1$ nor $q$. Then $p>2$, and any outer automorphism of $T$ of order $p$ is a field automorphism. Choose the first factor in the product $\left(q^{2}-1\right)\left(q^{3}+1\right)\left(q^{4}-1\right) \cdots\left(q^{n}-(-1)^{n}\right)$ that $p$ divides. If it is $q^{i}+1$, take $x$ to be a generator of a cyclic torus of $T$ of type $G U_{1}\left(q^{i}\right)<G U_{i}(q) \leq G U_{n}(q)$ (we must intersect this with $S U_{n}(q)$ and factor out $Z$ ); and if it is $q^{2 i}-1$, take $x$ to be a generator of a cyclic torus of type $G L_{1}\left(q^{2 i}\right)<G L_{i}\left(q^{2}\right)<G U_{n}(q)$. Now argue that $x$ is not a $p^{t h}$ power in $T$ and is not conjugate to $x^{\sigma}$ for $\sigma$ a field automorphism of order $p$.

Lemma 3.6 $T$ is not $P S p_{2 n}(q)$.
Proof. Suppose $T=P S p_{2 n}(q)$. Then $n \geq 2$ and $(n, q) \neq(2,2)$.
Assume $p>2$. Then any outer automorphism of $T$ of order $p$ is a field automorphism.

If $p \mid q$, let $A \in S p_{2}(q)$ be an element of order $q+1$, and define $x=\operatorname{diag}\left(A, J_{2 n-2}\right) Z \in$ $T$, where as before $J_{2 n-2}$ is a unipotent Jordan block of size $2 n-2$. Then $C_{T}(x) \leq$ $\left(S p_{2}(q) \times S p_{2 n-2}(q)\right) / Z$, and since $J_{2 n-2}$ is not a $p^{t h}$ power in $S p_{2 n-2}(q), x$ is not a $p^{\text {th }}$ power in $T$. Also for a field automorphism $\sigma$ of order $p, x^{\sigma}$ is not conjugate to $x$.

If $p$ does not divide $q$, let $e$ be minimal such that $p \mid q^{e}-\delta$ for some $\delta= \pm 1$. If $\delta=-1$, let $x$ be a generator of a cyclic torus of $T$ of order $q^{e}+1\left(\right.$ or $\left.\left(q^{e}+1\right) / 2\right)$ in a subgroup of type $S p_{2}\left(q^{e}\right) \leq S p_{2 e}(q)$; and if $\delta=+1$, then $e$ is odd and we let $x$ generate a torus of order $q^{e}-1\left(\right.$ or $\left.\left(q^{e}-1\right) / 2\right)$ in a subgroup of type $G L_{1}\left(q^{e}\right) \leq$ $G L_{e}(q) \leq S p_{2 e}(q)$. Then $x$ is not a $p^{t h}$ power in $T$ and $x^{\sigma}$ is not conjugate to $x$ for a field automorphism $\sigma$ of order $p$.

Now assume $p=2$. Then a non-diagonal involutory outer automophism of $T$ involves a field automorphism or, if $n=2$ and $q=2^{2 k+1}$, a graph automorphism. Let $x=\operatorname{diag}\left(A, J_{2 n-2}\right) Z \in T$ again, and argue as before that $x$ is not a square in $T$ and $x^{\sigma}$ is not conjugate to $x$ for a field automorphism $\sigma$ of order 2. Finally, in the case where $n=2$ and $q=2^{2 k+1}$ we need also to observe that $x^{\sigma}$ is not conjugate to $x$ for $\sigma$ an involutory graph automorphism; this follows as $x=s u$ with $s=\operatorname{diag}\left(A, I_{2}\right)$ and $u=\operatorname{diag}\left(I_{2}, J_{2}\right)$ a long root element of $T$, so $x^{\sigma}=s^{\sigma} u^{\sigma}$ with $u^{\sigma}$ a short root element, hence is not conjugate to $x$.

Lemma 3.7 $T$ is not an orthogonal group.
Proof. Suppose $T$ is orthogonal, so $T=P \Omega(V)=P \Omega_{2 n+1}(q)(q$ odd, $n \geq 3)$ or $P \Omega_{2 n}^{\epsilon}(q)(n \geq 4, \epsilon= \pm)$.

First assume that $p=2$ and $q$ is odd. Let $A$ be a matrix in $G L_{2}(q)$ of order $q^{2}-1$ with eigenvalues $\lambda, \lambda^{q}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$. With respect to a suitable basis, there is an element $x=\operatorname{diag}\left(A, A^{-T}, \lambda^{q+1}, \lambda^{-q-1}, I\right)$ which lies in a $\operatorname{subgroup} G L_{3}^{*}(q)$ of $T$ (the subgroup of matrices of square determinant in $\left.G L_{3}(q)\right)$. We argue in the usual way that $x$ is not a square in $P \Delta(V)$ (notation of [5]) and is not conjugate to $x^{\sigma}$ if $\sigma$ involves an involutory field automorphism.

Now suppose $p=2$ and $q$ is even. In this case we let $A$ be an element of order $q+1$ in $\Omega_{2}^{-}(q)$ and argue in the usual way with an element $x=\operatorname{diag}\left(A, J_{2 n-4}, J_{2}\right)$ in a subgroup $\Omega_{2}^{-}(q) \times \Omega_{2 n-2}^{-\epsilon}(q)$ of $T$.

Now let $p>2$. If $p \mid q$, let $A$ be an element of order $q+1$ in $\Omega_{2}^{-}(q)$ and let $x=\operatorname{diag}\left(A, J_{2 n-3}, J_{1}\right)$ in a subgroup $\Omega_{2}^{-}(q) \times \Omega_{2 n-2}^{-\epsilon}(q)$. And if $p$ does not divide $q$, choose $e$ minimal such that $p \mid q^{e}-\delta$ for some $\delta= \pm 1$. If $\delta=-1$, let $x$ be a generator of a cyclic torus of type $\Omega_{2}^{-}\left(q^{e}\right)<\Omega_{2 e}^{-}(q)$, and if $\delta=+1$ (so $e$ is odd), let $x$ generate a cyclic torus of type $G L_{1}\left(q^{e}\right)<G L_{e}(q)<\Omega_{2 e}^{+}(q)$.

With $x$ as in the previous paragraph, we argue in the usual way that $x$ is not a $p^{\text {th }}$ power in $T$ and that $x$ is not conjugate to $x^{\sigma}$ when $\sigma \in P \Gamma(V)$ (notation of [5]) involves a field automorphism of order $p$. This completes the proof except in the case where $p=3$ and $T=P \Omega_{8}^{+}(q)$, in which case $\sigma$ could involve a triality automorphism of $T$.

So assume finally that $T=P \Omega_{8}^{+}(q)$ and $p=3$.
If $q=3^{a}$, let $x=\operatorname{diag}\left(J_{5}, \lambda, \lambda^{-1}, 1\right)$ lying in a subgroup of type $\Omega_{5}(q) \times \Omega_{3}(q)$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ has order $(q-1) / 2$. Write $x=u s$ with $u=J_{5} \in \Omega_{5}(q)$ and $s=$ $\left(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}, 1\right) \in \Omega_{3}(q)$. Then $x \notin T^{[3]}$ as $u$ is not a cube in $T$. If $\sigma$ is an outer automorphism of order 3 involving a triality, then $x$ is not $T$-conjugate to $x^{\sigma}$ since
$u$ is not conjugate to $u^{\sigma}$ (as $u^{\sigma}=J_{4}^{2}$ in a subgroup of type $S p_{4}(q)$ ); and if $\sigma$ is a field automorphism then the same conclusion holds since $s$ is not conjugate to $s^{\sigma}$.

If $q$ is not a power of 3 , let 3 divide $q-\epsilon(\epsilon= \pm 1)$, let $A$ be an element of order $(q-\epsilon) /(2, q-1)$ in $\Omega_{2}^{\epsilon}(q)$, and let $x=\operatorname{diag}\left(A, J_{4}, J_{2}\right)(q$ even $)$ or $\operatorname{diag}\left(A, J_{5}, J_{1}\right)$ ( $q$ odd) lying in a subgroup of type $\Omega_{2}^{\epsilon}(q) \times \Omega_{6}^{\epsilon}(q)$. Now argue as in the previous paragraph.

Lemma 3.8 $T$ is not an exceptional group of Lie type.

Proof. Suppose $T$ is an exceptional simple group of Lie type over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Exclude $G_{2}(2)^{\prime}=U_{3}(3)$ and ${ }^{2} G_{2}(3)^{\prime}=L_{2}(8)$.

Assume first that $p>2$. Then the only outer automorphisms of $T$ of order $p$ are field automorphisms, together with diagonal (and field-diagonal) automorphisms when $p=3, T=E_{6}^{\epsilon}(q)$ and $3 \mid q-\epsilon$.

If $p \mid q$, then except for $T={ }^{2} G_{2}(q)$, there is a fundamental $A=S L_{2}(q)$ in $T$, with centralizer $D$ (where $D=E_{7}(q), D_{6}(q), A_{5}^{\epsilon}(q), C_{3}(q), A_{1}(q)$ or $A_{1}\left(q^{3}\right)$, according as $T=E_{8}(q), E_{7}(q), E_{6}^{\epsilon}(q), F_{4}(q), G_{2}(q)$ or ${ }^{3} D_{4}(q)$ respectively). Let $s \in A$ be an element of order $q+1$, and let $u \in D$ be a regular unipotent element. Define $x=s u$. Then $C_{T}(x) \leq A D$, and so $x$ is not a $p^{t h}$ power in $T$ (as $u$ is not a $p^{t h}$ power in $D)$. Also $x$ is not conjugate to $x^{\sigma}$ for $\sigma$ a field automorphism of order $p$, so this completes the proof in this case, except for $T={ }^{2} G_{2}(q)$.

For $T={ }^{2} G_{2}(q), p=3, q=3^{2 k+1}>3$, we require a more detailed argument. Adopting the notation of [2, Table 2.4], $T$ has a Sylow 3-subgroup $P=\{x(t, u, v)$ : $\left.t, u, v \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\}$ of order $q^{3}$ and exponent 9 , where

$$
x(t, u, v) \cdot x\left(t^{\prime}, u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)=x\left(t+t^{\prime}, u+u^{\prime}+t^{\prime} t^{3 \theta}, v+v^{\prime}-t^{\prime} u+\left(t^{\prime}\right)^{2} t^{3 \theta}\right)
$$

$\theta$ being the map $t \rightarrow t^{3^{k}}$. Then $Z(P)=\left\{x(0,0, v): v \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\}$. If $y=x(1,0,0)$ then $y$ has order 9 (so is not a cube in $T$ ), $y^{3} \in Z(P)$ and $C_{T}(y)=\langle y\rangle Z(P)$ (see [9]). If $\sigma$ is an outer automorphism of $T$ of order 3 , then it is a field automorphism and we can take it to act on $P$ as $x(t, u, v) \rightarrow x\left(t^{\sigma}, u^{\sigma}, v^{\sigma}\right)$. Suppose $y$ is a cube in $T\langle\sigma\rangle$, say $y=(x \sigma)^{3}$ with $x \in T$. Then $x \sigma \in C_{T\langle\sigma\rangle}(y)=\langle y\rangle Z(P)\langle\sigma\rangle$, so $x=y^{k} x(0,0, v)$ for some integer $k$ and $v \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. But then since $y$ centralizes $x(0,0, v)$ we have $(x \sigma)^{3}=y^{3 k} x\left(0,0, v^{1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}}\right)$ which has order dividing 3 , so cannot equal $y$. Hence $y$ is not a cube in $T\langle\sigma\rangle$, completing the proof in this case.

Now assume $p$ does not divide $q$ (still with $p>2$ ). Postpone the case where $p=3, T=E_{6}^{\epsilon}(q)$ and $3 \mid q-\epsilon$. From [4, Section 2], we check that with a few exceptions (listed below), there is a cyclic maximal torus of $T$ of order divisible by $p$. If we take $x$ to be a generator of this torus, then $x$ is not a $p^{t h}$ power in $T$, and is not conjugate to $x^{\sigma}$ if $\sigma$ is a field automorphism of order $p$. The exceptions are as follows:

| $T$ | $E_{7}(q)$ | $E_{6}(q)$ | ${ }^{2} E_{6}(q)$ | $F_{4}(q)$ | ${ }^{2} G_{2}(q)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $p$ | $q_{4}, q_{8}$ | $q_{6}$ | $q_{3}$ | $q_{4}$ | $q_{2}$ |

Here $q_{i}$ denotes a primitive prime divisor of $q^{i}-1$. For the $T=E_{7}(q)$ case, take $x$ to be an element of order $\frac{q^{4}-1}{q-1}$ or $\frac{q^{4}+1}{2, q-1)}$ in a subsystem subgroup $A_{3}(q)$ or $D_{4}(q)$ in the respective cases $p=q_{4}, q_{8}$. If $x=y^{p}$ for some $y \in T$ then $y$ lies in a maximal torus; but we see from [4] that there is no maximal torus in which $x$ is a $p^{t h}$ power. Hence $x$ is not a $p^{t h}$ power in $T$. And if $\sigma$ is a field automorphism of order $p$, then
from the action of $\sigma$ on $A_{3}(q)$ or $D_{4}(q)$, we see that $x$ is not conjugate to $x^{\sigma}$. The cases $T=E_{6}^{\epsilon}(q)$ are handled similarly by taking $x$ to be an element of order $\frac{q^{6}-1}{q-\epsilon}$ in a subgroup $A_{5}^{\epsilon}(q)$. Finally, in the $F_{4}(q)$ and ${ }^{2} G_{2}(q)$ cases we take $x$ of order $\frac{q^{4}-1}{(2, q-1)}$ or $\frac{q+1}{2}$ in a maximal torus of the form $\langle x\rangle \times(2, q-1)$.

Now consider the postponed case where $p=3, T=E_{6}^{\epsilon}(q)$ and $3 \mid q-\epsilon$. In a subsystem subgroup $A_{1}(q) A_{5}^{\epsilon}(q)$, take an element $x=y z$, where $y \in A_{1}(q)$ has order $q-\epsilon$ and $z$ is a regular unipotent element in $A_{5}^{\epsilon}(q)$. If $T .3$ denotes the group generated by inner and diagonal automorphisms of $T$, then $C_{T .3}(x)=\langle y\rangle U$ where $U$ is a unipotent group, so $x$ is not a cube in T.3. Also $x$ is not conjugate to $x^{\sigma}$ when $\sigma$ involves a field automorphism of order 3 .

This completes the case where $p>2$. Now suppose $p=2$. Note that $T \neq{ }^{2} B_{2}(q)$, ${ }^{2} G_{2}(q)$ or ${ }^{2} F_{4}(q)(q>2)$ as these have no outer automorphisms of order 2.

Assume $q$ is odd. For $T=E_{8}(q), F_{4}(q),{ }^{3} D_{4}(q)$ or $G_{2}(q)\left(q \neq 3^{k}\right)$, take $x$ to be a generator of a cyclic maximal torus of even order (which exists by [4]), and argue as usual that $x$ is not a square in $T$ and is not conjugate to $x^{\sigma}$ for $\sigma$ an involutory field automorphism. The other groups $E_{7}(q), E_{6}^{\epsilon}(q), G_{2}(q)\left(q=3^{k}\right)$ possess diagonal or graph automorphisms of order 2 , so require a little more care.

For $T=E_{7}(q)$ we work in a subsystem subgroup $A_{2}(q) A_{5}(q)$. This has normalizer $N=A_{2}(q) A_{5}(q) .2$ in the inner-diagonal group $T .2$. The outer involution acts diagonally on the $A_{5}(q)$ factor and as an inner automorphism on $A_{2}(q)$. Take an element $x$ in the factor $A_{2}(q) \cong S L_{3}(q)$ of order $q^{2}-1$. Then $C_{T .2}(x) \leq N$, so we see that $x$ is not a square in $T .2$. Also $x$ is not conjugate to $x^{\sigma}$ when $\sigma$ involves an involutory field automorphism, so this case is done.

For $T=E_{6}^{\epsilon}(q)$, take $x$ to be an element of order $q^{4}-1$ in a subsystem subgroup $A_{4}^{\epsilon}(q) \cong S L_{5}^{\epsilon}(q)$. No torus in $T$ has an element of order $2\left(q^{4}-1\right)$ (see [4]), so $x$ is not a square in $T$. If $\sigma$ is a graph automorphism of $T$, it acts as a graph automorphism on a suitable subgroup $A_{4}^{\epsilon}(q)$, and hence we see that $x$ is not conjugate to $x^{\sigma}$. Also $x$ is not conjugate to $x^{\sigma}$ when $\sigma$ involves an involutory field automorphism.

Now consider $T=G_{2}(q)$ with $q=3^{k}$. Let $q \equiv \epsilon \bmod 4$ with $\epsilon= \pm 1$. There is a subgroup $A_{1} \tilde{A}_{1}$ in $T$, a commuting product of two $S L_{2}(q)$ 's where $A_{1}$ is generated by long root groups and $\tilde{A}_{1}$ by short root groups. Let $x=u s$ with $u \in A_{1}$ of order 3 and $s \in \tilde{A}_{1}$ of order $q-\epsilon$. Then $C_{T}(x) \leq A_{1} \tilde{A}_{1}$, and hence we see that $x \notin T^{[2]}$. If $\sigma$ is an involutory outer automorphism of $T$ involving a graph automorphism, then $x^{\sigma}$ is not $T$-conjugate to $x$ (since the long root element $u$ is not conjugate to the short root element $u^{\sigma}$ ); and if $\sigma$ is a field automorphism then the same conclusion holds as $s^{\sigma}$ is not conjugate to $s$.

Now assume that $q$ is even (still with $p=2$ ). Use [1] for the case where $T={ }^{2} F_{4}(2)^{\prime}$. Since we have ruled out $T$ of type ${ }^{2} B_{2}$ or ${ }^{2} F_{4}$, this leaves $T$ of type $E_{8}, E_{7}, E_{6}^{\epsilon}, F_{4}, G_{2}$ or ${ }^{3} D_{4}$. For all but the $E_{6}^{\epsilon}$ and $F_{4}$ cases we can argue exactly as for the $p \mid q$ case done above for $p>2$. For $E_{6}^{\epsilon}$ and $F_{4}$ there are graph automorphisms to take into account.

In the case where $T=E_{6}^{\epsilon}(q)$, in a subsystem subgroup $A_{1}(q) A_{5}^{\epsilon}(q)$ take $x=u s$ where $u \in A_{1}(q)$ is an involution and $s \in A_{5}^{\epsilon}(q)$ an element of order $\frac{q^{6}-1}{q-\epsilon}$. Then $C_{T}(x)=C_{A_{1}(q)}(u)\langle s\rangle$, so $x$ is not a square in $T$. Also a graph automorphism $\sigma$ normalizing $A_{1}(q) A_{5}^{\epsilon}(q)$ acts as a graph automorphism on $A_{5}^{\epsilon}(q)$, hence inverts $x$, so $x$ is not $T$-conjugate to $x^{\sigma}$. And $x$ is not conjugate to $x^{\sigma}$ when $\sigma$ involves an involutory field or graph-field automorphism.

Finally, consider $T=F_{4}(q)$. In a subsystem subgroup $A_{2}(q) A_{2}(q)$ take $x=u s$, where $u$ is a regular unipotent element of the first factor, and $s$ an element of order $q^{2}+q+1$ in the second. Since $C_{T}(s)=A_{2}(q)\langle s\rangle, x$ is not a square in $T$. For $\sigma$ a graph automorphism, $x^{\sigma}=u^{\sigma} s^{\sigma}$ is not conjugate to $x$, as $u$ and $u^{\sigma}$ are not conjugate, one being regular in a long root $A_{2}$, the other in a short root $A_{2}$. And as usual, $x$ is not conjugate to $x^{\sigma}$ when $\sigma$ is an involutory field automorphism. This completes the proof.

## 4 General finite groups

First we prove Theorem 4. Let $G$ be a finite group and suppose $G^{[k]}$ is a subgroup of $G$. The proof is by induction on $|G|$. Let $N$ be a minimal normal subgroup of $G$. Then $(G / N)^{[k]}$ is a subgroup, hence by induction its non-abelian composition factors satisfy the conclusion of the theorem. If $N$ is abelian then the theorem follows. So we may assume that $N=T^{r}$ for some non-abelian simple group $T$. It suffices to show that either $T \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(T)^{[k]}$ or the exponent of $T$ divides $k$. Assume the contrary, and let $t \in T \backslash \operatorname{Aut}(T)^{[k]}$.

Let $\bar{G}=G / C_{G}(N)$. Then $\bar{G}$ embeds in $\operatorname{Aut}(N)=\operatorname{Aut}(T) 乙 S_{r}$. We identify $N$ with its image in $\bar{G}$.

We claim that the element $n=(t, 1, \ldots, 1) \in T^{r}=N$ is not a $k^{t h}$ power in $\bar{G}$. To see this, suppose $n=x^{k}$ where $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right) \sigma$ with each $x_{i} \in \operatorname{Aut}(T)$ and $\sigma \in S_{r}$. Then $\sigma^{k}=1$. If $\sigma(1)=1$ then $t=x_{1}^{k}$, contradicting the fact that $t$ is not a $k^{t h}$ power in Aut $(T)$. So $\sigma$ has a cycle $\left(1 i_{2} \cdots i_{s}\right)$ with $s \geq 1$. Calculating the coordinates of $x^{k}$ in positions 1 and $i_{s}$, we get $t=x_{1} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{s}}$ and $1=x_{i_{s}} x_{1} \cdots x_{i_{s-1}}$, a contradiction.

It follows that $G^{[k]}$ is a normal subgroup of $G$ which does not contain $N$. Hence $G^{[k]} \cap N=1$. Therefore all $k^{t h}$ powers in $N$ are trivial, which means that $k$ is divisible by the exponent of $T$. This contradicts our assumption on $T$, and completes the proof of the first assertion of Theorem 4. The last assertion follows using Burnside's $p^{a} q^{b}$ theorem.

Finally we deduce Theorems 1,2 and 3 . Suppose $G$ is a finite group such that $G^{[k]}$ is a subgroup, where $k$ divides 12 . Then Theorem 4 shows that $T \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(T)^{[k]}$ for every composition factor $T$ of $G$.

If $k=2$ then Theorem 7 shows that the non-abelian composition factors of $G$ are among the groups $L_{2}(q)(q$ odd $), L_{2}\left(q^{2}\right)(q$ even $)$ and $L_{3}(4)$, proving Theorem 3.

Now assume that both $G^{[3]}$ and $G^{[4]}$ are subgroups of $G$. Suppose $G$ is not soluble, and let $T$ be a non-abelian composition factor. Since all non-abelian simple groups have order divisible by 4 , Theorem 7 shows that $T=L_{2}(q)$ with $q$ even. Then $T$ has order divisible by 3 , so Theorem 7 now gives a contradiction. Hence $G$ is soluble, proving Theorem 2.

Finally, assume that $G^{[12]}$ is a subgroup of $G$. If $T$ is a non-abelian composition factor, then $T \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(T)^{[12]} \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(T)^{[4]}$, so again Theorem 7 gives $T=L_{2}(q)$ with $q$ even. But then 12 divides $|T|$, so Theorem 7 gives a contradiction. Hence $G$ is soluble, and Theorem 1 is proved.

## 5 Good and bad numbers

Define a positive integer $k$ to be good if the assumption that $G^{[k]}$ is a subgroup implies that $G$ is soluble, and bad otherwise. We observed in the Introduction that 12 is the minimal good number.

Proposition 5.1 The following numbers are good:
(i) $2^{a} p^{b}$ with $a \geq 2, b \geq 1$ and $p \in\{3,5,17\}$;
(ii) 105 .

Proof. We copy the proof of Theorem 1. Let $k$ one of the numbers in (i) or (ii) and suppose $G^{[k]}$ is a subgroup of $G$. Assume $G$ has a non-abelian composition factor $T$. Then $T \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(T)^{[k]}$ by Theorem 4. For $k$ as in (i), Theorem 7 implies that $T=L_{2}\left(2^{4 r}\right)$ for some $r$; but then $|T|$ is divisible by the primes $p \in\{3,5,17\}$, so Theorem 7 gives a contradiction. Finally, assume $k=105$. If $|T|$ is divisible by 3 , then Theorem 7 implies that $T=L_{2}\left(3^{3 r}\right)$; but then $|T|$ is divisible by 7 and Theorem 7 gives a contradiction. And if $|T|$ is coprime to 3 , then $T$ is a Suzuki group; then 5 divides $|T|$ and once again Theorem 7 gives a contradiction.

Proposition 5.2 The following numbers are bad:
(i) $p^{a}$ and $2 p^{a}$ with $p$ prime;
(ii) numbers coprime to 6 ;
(iii) $3^{a} p^{b}$ with $p>3$ prime and $a, b \geq 1$.

Proof. (i) This is clear from Proposition 6.
(ii) Let $k$ be coprime to 6 . Using Dirichlet's theorem on primes in arithmetic progression, one can see that there is a prime $p>3$ such that $T=L_{2}(p)$ has order coprime to $k$. Then $T^{[k]}=T$, which shows that $k$ is bad.
(iii) Let $k=3^{a} p^{b}$ as in (iii). If $p \neq 5$ then $k$ is coprime to the order of one of the Suzuki groups $S z(8)$ or $S z(32)$, so $k$ is bad. And if $p=5$ then $p$ does not divide the order of $T=L_{2}\left(3^{3^{a}}\right)$, so Proposition 6 shows that there is a group $G$ with socle $T$ such that $G^{[k]}=T$.

It follows quickly that 20 is the smallest even good number greater than 12 , and 105 is the smallest odd good number.
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